

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

In re:

WOODBIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC,  
*et al.*,<sup>1</sup>

Remaining Debtors.

MICHAEL GOLDBERG, in his capacity as  
Liquidating Trustee of the WOODBRIDGE  
LIQUIDATION TRUST,

Plaintiff,

vs.

HENRI JEANRENAUD; BARBARA  
JEANRENAUD,

Defendants.

Chapter 11

Case No. 17-12560 (BLS)

(Jointly Administered)

Adversary Proceeding

Case No. 19-\_\_\_\_\_ (BLS)

**COMPLAINT OBJECTING TO CLAIMS AND COUNTERCLAIMING  
FOR AVOIDANCE AND RECOVERY OF AVOIDABLE TRANSFERS,  
FOR EQUITABLE SUBORDINATION, FOR SALE OF UNREGISTERED  
SECURITIES, FRAUD, AND FOR AIDING AND ABETTING FRAUD**

---

<sup>1</sup> The Remaining Debtors and the last four digits of their respective federal tax identification numbers are as follows: Woodbridge Group of Companies, LLC (3603) and Woodbridge Mortgage Investment Fund 1, LLC (0172). The Remaining Debtors' mailing address is 14140 Ventura Boulevard, #302, Sherman Oaks, California 91423.

The Woodbridge Liquidation Trust (the “Liquidation Trust” or “Plaintiff”) formed pursuant to the *First Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Liquidation of Woodbridge Group of Companies, LLC and Its Affiliated Debtors* dated August 22, 2018 (Bankr. Docket No. 2397) (as it may be amended, modified, supplemented, or restated from time to time, the “Plan”<sup>2</sup>), as and for its *Complaint Objecting to Claims and Counterclaiming for Avoidance and Recovery of Avoidable Transfers and for Equitable Subordination, and for Sale of Unregistered Securities, for Fraud, and for Aiding and Abetting Fraud* (this “Complaint”) against Henri Jeanrenaud; and Barbara Jeanrenaud (“Defendants”), alleges as follows:

#### **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

1. Since at least August 2012 until shortly before they sought bankruptcy protection, Woodbridge Group of Companies, LLC and its many hundreds of debtor affiliates (collectively, the “Debtors”) were operated by their founder and principal, Robert Shapiro (“Shapiro”), as a Ponzi scheme. As part of this fraud, Shapiro utilized the Debtors to raise over one billion dollars from approximately 10,000 investors as either Noteholders or Unitholders (collectively, “Investors”).

2. Those Investors often placed a substantial percentage of their net worth (including savings and retirement accounts) with the Debtors and now stand to lose a significant portion of their investments and to be delayed in the return of the remaining portion. The quality of the Investors’ lives will likely be substantially and adversely affected by the fraud perpetrated by Shapiro.

---

<sup>2</sup> Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Plan.

3. Investors were often told that they were investing money to be loaned with respect to particular properties owned by third parties, that those properties were worth substantially more than the loans against the properties, and that they would have the benefit of a stream of payments from these third parties for high-interest loans, protected by security interests and/or mortgages against such properties. In reality, these statements were lies. Investors' money was almost never used to make high-interest loans to unrelated, third-party borrowers, there was no stream of payments, Investors' money was commingled and used for an assortment of expenses, including maintaining a lavish lifestyle for Shapiro and his family, brokers' commissions, overhead (largely for selling even more notes and units to investors), and payment of principal and interest to existing investors. The money that was used to acquire property (almost always owned by a disguised affiliate) cannot be traced to any specific Investor. These are typical characteristics of Ponzi schemes.

4. Because the Debtors operated as a Ponzi scheme, obtaining new money from Investors into the Ponzi scheme conferred no net benefit on the Debtors; on the contrary, each new investment was a net negative. Money was siphoned off to pay the expenses described above, so that the Debtors actually received only a fraction of the investment dollars. New money also perpetuated the Ponzi scheme, enabling the Debtors to return fictitious profits to early Investors; in the absence of new investment, the house of cards would fall (as it eventually did). At the same time, each investment created an obligation to return to the defrauded Investor 100% of the investment, such that each new investment increased the Debtors' liabilities and ultimately left them unable to satisfy their aggregate liabilities.

5. The purpose of this lawsuit is (i) to object to the Claim (defined below) so that Defendants are not further compensated at the expense of legitimate creditors for activities that advanced the Ponzi scheme and further drove the Debtors into insolvency, (ii) to recover monies previously paid to Defendants by reason of these activities or as fictitious profits on the Claim, and (iii) to the extent the Claim, or any new or amended claims, survive, to equitably subordinate them.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

6. The Court has jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157(a) and 1334. This adversary proceeding is a core proceeding within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(B), (C), (F), and (H). In any event, Plaintiff consents to entry of final orders or judgment by this Court. Venue of this adversary proceeding is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

### **THE PARTIES**

#### ***The Liquidation Trust***

7. On December 4, 2017 (the “Initial Petition Date”), certain of the Debtors commenced voluntary cases under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. Other of the Debtors also filed voluntary chapter 11 cases either on the Initial Petition Date or within the following four months (collectively, the “Bankruptcy Cases”).

8. On October 26, 2018, this Court entered an order confirming the Plan (Bankr. Docket No. 2903).

9. The Plan provides for, *inter alia*, the establishment of the Liquidation Trust on the Effective Date of the Plan for the benefit of the Liquidation Trust Beneficiaries in accordance with the terms of the Plan and the Liquidation Trust Agreement. *See* Plan §§ 1.75, 5.4.

10. The Effective Date of the Plan occurred on February 15, 2019.

11. On February 25, 2019, the Court entered an order closing the Bankruptcy Cases of all Debtors except Woodbridge Group of Companies, LLC and Woodbridge Mortgage Investment Fund 1, LLC (together, the “Remaining Debtors”). The Remaining Debtors’ Bankruptcy Cases are being jointly administered under Case No. 17-12560 (KJC).

12. On the Effective Date, the Liquidation Trust was automatically vested with all of the Debtors’ and the Estates’ respective rights, title, and interest in and to all Liquidation Trust Assets. *See* Plan § 5.4.3. Further, the Liquidation Trust, as successor in interest to the Debtors, has the right and power to file and pursue any and all Liquidation Trust Actions without any further order of the Bankruptcy Court. *Id.* § 5.4.15. “Liquidation Trust Actions” include, *inter alia*, “all Avoidance Actions and Causes of Action held by the Debtors or the Estates. . . .” *Id.* § 1.76.

13. In addition to its status as successor in interest to the Debtors and their estates, the Liquidation Trust also holds claims held by Investors who elected to contribute to the Liquidation Trust certain causes of action that those Investors possess against individuals such as Defendant Henri Jeanrenaud (the “Contributed Claims”). *Id.* § 1.28 (defining “Contributed Claims” to include “All Causes of Action that a Noteholder or Unitholder has against any Person

that is not a Released Party and that are related in any way to the Debtors, their predecessors, their respective affiliates, or any Excluded Parties, including ... all Causes of Action based on, arising out of, or related to the marketing, sale, and issuance of any Notes or Units; ... all Causes of Action based on, arising out of, or related to the misrepresentation of any of the Debtors' financial information, business operations, or related internal controls; and ... all Causes of Action based on, arising out of, or related to any failure to disclose, or actual or attempted cover up or obfuscation of, any of the conduct described in the Disclosure Statement, including in respect of any alleged fraud related thereto”).

***Defendants***

14. Defendant Henri Jeanrenaud is an individual residing in the State of California. Upon information and belief, Defendant is/was a financial advisor who specializes in providing retirement planning and advice to the public.

15. Defendant Barbara Jeanrenaud is an individual residing in the State of California. Upon information and belief, Barbara Jeanrenaud is the wife of Defendant Henri Jeanrenaud.

16. Defendant Henri Jeanrenaud sold Notes and Units to unsuspecting Investors, created marketing materials and sales scripts to facilitate the sale of Notes and Units to unsuspecting Investors (often targeting unsophisticated, elderly investors with Individual Retirement Accounts). In so doing, Defendant Henri Jeanrenaud made materially false and fraudulent statements to induce Investors to provide money. In connection with such conduct, Defendant Henri Jeanrenaud, directly or indirectly, singly or in concert with others, made use of

the means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce, the means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce, and of the mails.

**FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS**

***The Fraud***

17. At least since July 2012 until shortly before they sought bankruptcy protection, the Debtors were operated as a Ponzi scheme. As this Court explained in its order confirming the Plan:

The evidence demonstrates, and the Bankruptcy Court hereby finds, that (i) beginning no later than July 2012 through December 1, 2017, Robert H. Shapiro used his web of more than 275 limited liability companies, including the Debtors, to conduct a massive Ponzi scheme raising more than \$1.22 billion from over 8,400 unsuspecting investors nationwide; (ii) the Ponzi scheme involved the payment of purported returns to existing investors from funds contributed by new investors; and (iii) the Ponzi scheme was discovered no later than December 2017.

18. The securities sold by Defendants (*i.e.*, the Debtors' Notes and Units) were not registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") or applicable state securities agencies and there was no applicable exemption from registration. Nor were Defendants registered as broker-dealers with the SEC or applicable state agencies.

19. Investors were often told that they were investing money to be loaned with respect to particular properties owned by third parties, that those properties were worth substantially more than the loans against the properties, and that they would have the benefit of a stream of payments from these third parties for high-interest loans, protected by security interests and/or mortgages against such properties. Shapiro and his lieutenants, represented to Investors

that the Debtors' profits would be generated by the difference between the interest rate the Debtors charged its third-party borrowers and the interest rate it paid Investors.

20. In reality, these statements were lies. Investors' money was almost never used to make high-interest loans to unrelated, third-party borrowers, and there was no stream of payments; instead, Investors' money was commingled and used for an assortment of items, including maintaining a lavish lifestyle for Shapiro and his family, brokers' commissions, overhead (largely for selling even more Notes and Units to Investors), and payment of principal and interest to existing Investors. The money that was used to acquire properties (almost always owned by a disguised affiliate) cannot be traced to any specific Investor. These are typical characteristics of Ponzi schemes.

21. Because the Debtors operated as a Ponzi scheme, obtaining new money from Investors into the Ponzi scheme conferred no net benefit on the Debtors; on the contrary, each new investment was a net negative. Money was siphoned off to pay the expenses described above, so that the Debtors actually received only a fraction of the investment dollars. New money also perpetuated the Ponzi scheme, as such money enabled the Debtors to return fictitious "profits" to early Investors – an essential component of the scheme, because "repaying" early Investors led to new investments, without which the house of cards would fall, as it eventually did. At the same time, each investment created an obligation to return to the defrauded Investor 100% of the investment, such that each new investment increased the Debtors' liabilities and ultimately left them unable to satisfy their aggregate liabilities.



***The Proof(s) of Claim***

22. Defendants filed proof of claims in the Debtors bankruptcy case designated claims nos. 5423, 5426, 5427, and 5429, and Defendant Henri Jeanrenaud filed claim no. 5429 and were scheduled by the Debtors for claims against the Debtors as identified in particular on Exhibit 1 thereto (collectively, the “Claims”). The Claims are based on Notes and Units held by Defendants as well as commissions claimed outstanding.

23. Defendants engaged in activities that generated investments in the Debtors. Defendant Henri Jeanrenaud is or was in the business of selling investment products, including the Debtors’ Notes and/or Units, to retail investors. Defendant Henri Jeanrenaud, solicited and sold Notes and/or Units to investors. Defendants received commission payments from the Debtors on account of Notes and/or Units sold to investors.

***The Transfers***

24. Within the 90 days preceding the relevant transferor’s petition date, Defendants received Prepetition Distributions of fictitious profits from the Ponzi scheme in respect of the Claims and commission payments totaling not less than the amount set forth on Exhibit 2 hereto (the “90 Day Transfers”). The precise transfers – including the transferor, its petition date, the date of each transfer, and the amount of each transfer – are set forth on Exhibit 2.

25. Within the two years preceding the Initial Petition Date, Defendants received transfers totaling not less than the amount set forth on Exhibit 2 hereto (the “Two Year Transfers”), including commission payments and Prepetition Distributions from the Ponzi

scheme in respect of the Claims. The precise transfers – including the transferor, its petition date, the date of each transfer, and the amount of each transfer – are set forth on Exhibit 2. The Two Year Transfers are inclusive of the 90 Day Transfers, but Plaintiff does not seek to recover the same sum more than once.

26. Within the four years preceding the Initial Petition Date, Defendants received transfers totaling not less than the amount set forth on Exhibit 2 hereto (the “Four Year Transfers” and, collectively with the 90 Day Transfers and the Two Year Transfers, the “Transfers”), including commission payments and, Prepetition Distributions of fictitious profits from the Ponzi scheme in respect of the Claims. The precise transfers – including the transferor, its petition date, the date of each transfer, and the amount of each transfer – are set forth on Exhibit 2. The Four Year Transfers are inclusive of the 90 Day Transfers and the Two Year Transfers, but Plaintiff does not seek to recover the same sum more than once.

**FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS)**

**Avoidance and Recovery of Preferential Transfers**

27. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein the aforementioned paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

28. The 90 Day Transfers constituted transfers of the Debtors’ property.

29. The 90 Day Transfers were made to or for the benefit of Defendants on account of an antecedent debt and while the Debtors were insolvent. The affirmative assertion that the Debtors were insolvent at the times of the 90 Day Transfers is not intended and does not

shift the burden of proof or alter the presumption of insolvency provided by Bankruptcy Code section 547(f).

30. By virtue of the 90 Day Transfers, Defendants received more than they would have received if the 90 Day Transfers had not been made and Defendants received a distribution pursuant to a chapter 7 liquidation.

31. As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff is entitled to judgment pursuant to Bankruptcy Code sections 547(b), 550(a), and 551: (a) avoiding the 90 Day Transfers free and clear of any interest of Defendants, (b) directing that the 90 Day Transfers be set aside, and (c) recovering the 90 Day Transfers or the value thereof from Defendants for the benefit of the Liquidation Trust.

**SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF (AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS)**

**Avoidance and Recovery of Actual Intent Fraudulent Transfers – Bankruptcy Code**

32. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein the aforementioned paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

33. The Two Year Transfers constituted transfers of the Debtors' property.

34. The Two Year Transfers were made by the Debtors with actual intent to hinder or delay or defraud their creditors insofar as either (i) the services to be provided in exchange for such transfers would perpetuate a Ponzi scheme, or (ii) such transfers were prepetition distributions of fictitious profits from the Ponzi scheme in respect of the Claims.

35. The Two Year Transfers were made to or for the benefit of Defendants.

36. As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff is entitled to judgment pursuant to Bankruptcy Code sections 548(a), 550(a), and 551: (a) avoiding the Two Year Transfers free and clear of any claimed interest of Defendants, (b) directing that the Two Year Transfers be set aside, and (c) recovering such Two Year Transfers or the value thereof from Defendants for the benefit of the Liquidation Trust.

**THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF (AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS)**

**Avoidance and Recovery of Constructive Fraudulent Transfers – Bankruptcy Code**

37. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein the aforementioned paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

38. The Two Year Transfers constituted transfers of the Debtors' property.

39. The Two Year Transfers were made by the Debtors for less than reasonably equivalent value at a time when the Debtors (i) were insolvent; and/or (ii) were engaged or about to engage in business or a transaction for which any capital remaining with the Debtors were an unreasonably small capital; and/or (iii) intended to incur, or believed that Debtors would incur, debts beyond their ability to pay as such debts matured.

40. The Two Year Transfers were made to or for the benefit of Defendants.

41. As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff is entitled to judgment pursuant to Bankruptcy Code sections 548(a), 550(a), and 551: (a) avoiding the Two Year Transfers free and clear of any claimed interest of Defendants, (b) directing that the Two Year Transfers be set aside, and (c) recovering such Two Year Transfers or the value thereof from Defendants for the benefit of the Liquidation Trust.

**FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS)**

**Avoidance and Recovery of Actual Intent Voidable Transactions – State Law**

42. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein the aforementioned paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

43. The Four Year Transfers constituted transfers of the Debtors' property.

44. The Four Year Transfers were made by the Debtors with actual intent to hinder or delay or defraud their creditors insofar as either (i) the services to be provided in exchange for such transfers would perpetuate a Ponzi scheme, or (ii) such transfers were prepetition distributions of fictitious profits from the Ponzi scheme in respect of the Claims.

45. The Four Year Transfers were made to or for the benefit of Defendants.

46. Debtors that made one or more of the Four Year Transfers has at least one creditor with an allowable unsecured claim for liabilities, which claim remained unsatisfied as of the Petition Date.

47. The Four Year Transfers are avoidable under applicable law – California Civil Code section 3439.04(a)(1) and/or comparable provisions of law in other jurisdictions that have adopted the Uniform Voidable Transactions Act, the Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act or the Uniform Fraudulent Conveyance Act – by a creditor holding an allowed unsecured claim and thus by Plaintiff pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 544(b).

48. As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff is entitled to judgment pursuant to Bankruptcy Code sections 544(b), 550(a), and 551: (a) avoiding the Four Year Transfers free and clear of any claimed interest of Defendants, (b) directing that the Four Year Transfers be set

aside, and (c) recovering such Four Year Transfers or the value thereof from Defendants for the benefit of the Liquidation Trust.

**FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS)**

**Avoidance and Recovery of Constructive Voidable Transactions – State Law**

49. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein the aforementioned paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

50. The Four Year Transfers constituted transfers of the Debtors' property.

51. The Four Year Transfers were made by the Debtors for less than reasonably equivalent value at a time when the Debtors (i) were insolvent; and/or (ii) were engaged or was about to engage in business or a transaction for which any capital remaining with the Debtors were an unreasonably small capital; and/or (iii) intended to incur, or believed that it would incur, debts beyond their ability to pay as such debts matured.

52. The Four Year Transfers were made to or for the benefit of Defendants.

53. At the times of, and/or subsequent to, each of the Four Year Transfers, each Debtor that made one or more of the Four Year Transfers has at least one creditor with an allowable unsecured claim for liabilities, which claim remained unsatisfied as of the Petition Date.

54. The Four Year Transfers are avoidable under applicable law – California Civil Code section 3439.04(a)(2) and/or comparable provisions of law in other jurisdictions that have adopted the Uniform Voidable Transactions Act, the Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act or

the Uniform Fraudulent Conveyance Act – by a creditor holding an allowed unsecured claim and thus by Plaintiff pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 544(b).

55. As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff is entitled to judgment pursuant to Bankruptcy Code sections 544(b), 550(a), and 551: (a) avoiding the Four Year Transfers free and clear of any claimed interest of Defendants, (b) directing that the Four Year Transfers be set aside, and (c) recovering such Four Year Transfers or the value thereof from Defendants for the benefit of the Liquidation Trust.

**SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS)**

**Objection to Claims (Bankruptcy Code Section 502(d))**

56. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein the aforementioned paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

57. The Claims are not allowable because:

- a. Defendant has received property, *i.e.*, the Transfers, recoverable under Bankruptcy Code section 550; and/or
- b. Defendant has received a transfer, *i.e.*, the Transfers, avoidable under Bankruptcy Code section 544, 547, or 548.

58. In either event, the Claims must be disallowed under Bankruptcy Code section 502(d) unless and until Defendants have fully repaid the amount, or turned over any such property, for which Defendants are liable under Bankruptcy Code section 550.

**SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS)**

**Equitable Subordination of Claims**

59. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein the aforementioned paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

60. By providing services that helped perpetuate a Ponzi scheme, Defendants engaged in inequitable conduct.

61. Defendants' inequitable conduct has resulted in injury to the Debtors' estates and their other creditors and/or has conferred an unfair advantage on Defendants.

62. Principles of equitable subordination require that any claims asserted by Defendants be equitably subordinated to all other claims against the Debtors.

63. Equitable subordination as requested herein is consistent with the provisions and purposes of the Bankruptcy Code.

64. As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff is entitled to judgment pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 510(c) equitably subordinating any and all claims that Defendants may assert against any of the Debtors, whatever the origin of those claims, including, without limitation, the Claims and any claims that may be asserted under Bankruptcy Code section 502(h), to all other claims against the Debtors.

**EIGHTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS)**

**Sale of Unregistered Securities (Securities Act Sections 5(a), 5(c), and 12(a))**

65. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein the aforementioned paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.



66. The Notes and Units sold by Defendants were securities within the meaning of the Securities Act.

67. No registration statement was filed or in effect with the SEC pursuant to the Securities Act with respect to the securities issued by the Debtors as described in this Complaint and no exemption from registration existed with respect to these securities.

68. From on or about July 2012 through at least December 4, 2017, Defendants directly and indirectly:

- a. made use of any means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or of the mails to sell securities, through the use or medium of a prospectus or otherwise;
- b. carried or caused to be carried securities through the mails or in interstate commerce, by any means or instruments of transportation, for the purpose of sale or delivery after sale; and/or
- c. made use of any means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or of the mails to offer to sell or offer to buy through the use or medium of any prospectus or otherwise any security,

without a registration statement having been filed or being in effect with the SEC as to such securities.

69. By reason of the foregoing, Defendants violated Sections 5(a) and 5(c) of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 77e(a) and 77e(c).

70. The Investors who contributed their claims to the Liquidation Trust purchased the unregistered securities issued by the Debtors and as a direct and proximate result sustained significant damages. Accordingly, the Liquidation Trust has standing under

Section 12(a)(1) of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. § 77l(a)(1), to bring a cause of action seeking damages based on Defendants' violations of Section 5(a) and 5(c) of the Securities Act.

71. As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff is entitled to judgment holding Defendants jointly and severally liable for the sale of unregistered securities, in the amount set forth on Exhibit 3, or in an amount to be proven at trial.

**NINTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS)**

**Fraud**

72. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein the aforementioned paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

73. Defendants misrepresented the facts to Investors, including by making affirmative misrepresentations and by concealing and failing to disclose the true facts. Among the misrepresentations were that Investors were often told that they were investing money to be loaned with respect to particular properties owned by third parties, that those properties were worth substantially more than the loans against the properties, and that they would have the benefit of a stream of payments from these third parties for high-interest loans, protected by security interests and/or mortgages against such properties.

74. In reality, these statements were lies. Investors' money was almost never used to make high-interest loans to unrelated, third-party borrowers, and there was no stream of payments; instead, Investors' money was commingled and used for an assortment of expenses, including maintaining a lavish lifestyle for Shapiro and his family, brokers' commissions, overhead (largely for selling even more Notes and Units to Investors), and payment of principal

and interest to existing investors. The money that was used to acquire properties (almost always owned by a disguised affiliate) cannot be traced to any specific Investor.

75. Defendants made these misrepresentations knowingly, with scienter, and with intent to defraud Investors.

76. The Investors who contributed their claims to the Liquidation Trust justifiably relied on Defendants' misrepresentations of facts, and as a direct and proximate result sustained hundreds of millions of dollars in damages.

77. As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff is entitled to judgment holding Defendants liable for fraud in the amount set forth on Exhibit 3, or in an amount to be proven at trial.

#### **TENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS)**

##### **Aiding and Abetting Fraud**

78. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein the aforementioned paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

79. Shapiro – an architect of the fraud – also misrepresented the facts to Investors, and did so knowingly, with scienter, and with intent to defraud Investors. The Investors who contributed their claims to the Liquidation Trust justifiably relied on Shapiro's misrepresentations of facts, and as a direct and proximate result sustained hundreds of millions of dollars in damages.

80. Defendants knowingly and substantially assisted Shapiro in defrauding Investors and were aware of Shapiro's fraud and acted knowingly in providing substantial and material assistance to Shapiro.

81. Defendants substantially benefited by receiving income, commissions, and bonuses.

82. As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff is entitled to judgment holding Defendants liable for aiding and abetting fraud in the amount set forth on Exhibit 3, or in an amount to be proven at trial.

### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, by reason of the foregoing, Plaintiff requests that the Court enter judgment:

1. On the first claim for relief, (a) avoiding the 90 Day Transfers free and clear of any interest of Defendants, (b) directing that the 90 Day Transfers be set aside, and (c) ordering Defendants to pay to Plaintiff the amount of the 90 Day Transfers of \$20,890.48;

2. On the second and third claims for relief, (a) avoiding the Two Year Transfers free and clear of any claimed interest of Defendants, (b) directing that the Two Year Transfers be set aside, and (c) ordering Defendants to pay to Plaintiff the amount of the Two Year Transfers; provided, however, that Defendants shall not be liable for any Transfers that are set-off as Prepetition Distributions to the Claims;

3. On the fourth and fifth claims for relief, (a) avoiding the Four Year Transfers free and clear of any claimed interest of Defendants, (b) directing that the Four Year

Transfers be set aside, and (c) ordering Defendants to pay to Plaintiff the amount of the Four Year Transfers; provided, however, that Defendants shall not be liable for any Transfers that are set-off as Prepetition Distributions to the Claims;

4. On the sixth claim for relief, sustaining the objection to the Claims, decreeing that Defendants take nothing therefrom, and directing the Claims Agent to strike Claim Nos. 5423, 5425, 5426, 5427, and 5429 and all Schedule F claims of Defendants from the official Claims Register;

5. On the seventh claim for relief, equitably subordinating any and all claims that Defendants may assert against any of the Debtors or their estates, whatever the origin of those claims, including, without limitation, the Claim and any claims that may be asserted under Bankruptcy Code section 502(h), to all other claims against the Debtors or their estates;

6. On the eighth claim for relief, holding Defendants jointly and severally liable for damages in the amount of \$2,015,089.33 for Notes sold, and \$577,865.70 for Units sold, or in an amount to be proven at trial, arising from Defendants' sale of unregistered securities;

7. On the ninth claim for relief, holding Defendants jointly and severally liable for fraud in the amount of \$2,015,089.33 for Notes sold, and \$577,865.70 for Units sold, or in an amount to be proven at trial;

8. On the tenth claim for relief, holding Defendants jointly and severally liable for aiding and abetting fraud in the amount of \$2,015,089.33 for Notes sold, and \$577,865.70 for Units sold, or in an amount to be proven at trial; and

9. On all claims for relief, awarding Plaintiff prejudgment interest as permitted by law, costs of suit, and such other and further relief as is just and proper.

Dated: November 26, 2019

/s/ Colin R. Robinson

Bradford J. Sandler (DE Bar No. 4142)  
Andrew W. Caine (CA Bar No. 110345)  
Colin R. Robinson (DE Bar No. 5524)  
PACHULSKI, STANG, ZIEHL & JONES LLP  
919 North Market Street, 17th Floor  
P.O. Box 8705  
Wilmington, DE 19899-8705 (Courier 19801)  
Telephone: (302) 652-4100  
Facsimile: (302) 652-4400  
Email: bsandler@pszjlaw.com  
acaine@pszjlaw.com  
crobinson@pszjlaw.com

*Counsel to Plaintiff Michael Goldberg, in his  
capacity as Liquidating Trustee of the Woodbridge  
Liquidation Trust*

# **EXHIBIT 1**

## Claims and Investments

## Scheduled and Filed Claims

Creditor	Scheduled Claims			Filed Claims			
	Schedule	Amount	C/U/D	Claim No.	Amount	Classification	Type
Henri & Barbara Jeanrenaud	F	\$29,000.00	C/U/D	5423	\$29,000.00	Unsecured	Note
Henri & Barbara Jeanrenaud	F	65,000.00	C/U/D	5426	65,000.00	Unsecured	Note
Henri & Barbara Jeanrenaud	F	100,000.00	C/U/D	5427	100,000.00	Unsecured	Unit
Henri & Barbara Jeanrenaud	F	111,551.00	C/U/D	5429	111,561.00	Unsecured	Note
Henri Jeanrenaud	F	10,420.00	C/U/D	5425	10,420.00	Unsecured	Trade
<b>Totals</b>		<b>\$315,971.00</b>			<b>\$315,981.00</b>		

## Investment Principal and Distributions

Investor Name	Type	Total Outstanding Principal	Prepetition Distributions	Net Principal
Henri & Barbara Jeanrenaud	Note	\$205,551.00	\$95,673.96	\$109,877.04
Henri & Barbara Jeanrenaud	Unit	100,000.00	29,166.55	70,833.45
<b>Totals</b>		<b>\$305,551.00</b>	<b>\$124,840.51</b>	<b>\$180,710.49</b>



# **EXHIBIT 2**

Commission Payments  
Henri Jeanrenaud

Debtor	Ck. No.	Petition Date	Clear Date	Name	Receipts	Disbursements
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	28605	12/04/17	11/27/17	HENRI JEANRENAUD	\$ 132.50	
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	28605	12/04/17	11/27/17	HENRI JEANRENAUD		\$ 1,794.00
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	28606	12/04/17	11/27/17	HENRI JEANRENAUD		700.00
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	27524	12/04/17	10/18/17	HENRI JEANRENAUD		1,050.00
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	27525	12/04/17	10/18/17	HENRI JEANRENAUD		1,458.00
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	27226	12/04/17	10/11/17	HENRI JEANRENAUD		2,655.00
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	27227	12/04/17	10/11/17	HENRI JEANRENAUD		4,815.00
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	27238	12/04/17	10/11/17	HENRI JEANRENAUD	6,262.82	
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	27238	12/04/17	10/11/17	HENRI JEANRENAUD		9,394.22
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	27239	12/04/17	10/11/17	HENRI JEANRENAUD	3,216.67	
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	27239	12/04/17	10/11/17	HENRI JEANRENAUD		5,066.25
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	27240	12/04/17	10/11/17	HENRI JEANRENAUD	2,400.00	
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	27240	12/04/17	10/11/17	HENRI JEANRENAUD		2,700.00
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	27349	12/04/17	10/11/17	HENRI JEANRENAUD	75.00	
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	27349	12/04/17	10/11/17	HENRI JEANRENAUD		900.00
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	27427	12/04/17	10/11/17	HENRI JEANRENAUD		600.00
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	26374	12/04/17	09/28/17	HENRI JEANRENAUD		470.00
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	25893	12/04/17	09/15/17	HENRI JEANRENAUD	125.00	
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	25893	12/04/17	09/15/17	HENRI JEANRENAUD		1,500.00
TOTALS - 90 DAY					12,211.99	33,102.47
NET DISBURSEMENTS - 90 DAY						20,890.48
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	25377	12/04/17	08/29/17	HENRI JEANRENAUD		1,806.00
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	25249	12/04/17	08/28/17	HENRI JEANRENAUD		750.00
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	25250	12/04/17	08/28/17	HENRI JEANRENAUD		5,250.00
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	24550	12/04/17	08/14/17	HENRI JEANRENAUD	2,000.00	
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	24550	12/04/17	08/14/17	HENRI JEANRENAUD		6,000.00
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	22898	12/04/17	07/10/17	HENRI JEANRENAUD		1,590.00
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	22404	12/04/17	06/30/17	HENRI JEANRENAUD		900.00
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	22405	12/04/17	06/30/17	HENRI JEANRENAUD		4,825.00
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	22406	12/04/17	06/30/17	HENRI JEANRENAUD		3,600.00
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	22654	12/04/17	06/30/17	HENRI JEANRENAUD	612.50	
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	22654	12/04/17	06/30/17	HENRI JEANRENAUD		1,050.00
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	21475	12/04/17	05/30/17	HENRI JEANRENAUD		900.00
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	20748	12/04/17	05/08/17	HENRI JEANRENAUD		1,500.00
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	20784	12/04/17	05/08/17	HENRI JEANRENAUD		13,516.81
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	20028	12/04/17	04/21/17	HENRI JEANRENAUD		12,525.63
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	17231	12/04/17	01/27/17	HENRI JEANRENAUD		2,205.00
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	16011	12/04/17	12/22/16	HENRI JEANRENAUD		1,050.00
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	15475	12/04/17	12/09/16	HENRI JEANRENAUD		795.00
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	15395	12/04/17	12/08/16	HENRI JEANRENAUD		6,000.00
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	14513	12/04/17	11/14/16	HENRI JEANRENAUD	1,570.00	
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	14513	12/04/17	11/14/16	HENRI JEANRENAUD		2,565.00
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	14316	12/04/17	11/08/16	HENRI JEANRENAUD	1,250.00	
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	14316	12/04/17	11/08/16	HENRI JEANRENAUD		1,500.00
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	13759	12/04/17	10/31/16	HENRI JEANRENAUD	675.00	
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	13759	12/04/17	10/31/16	HENRI JEANRENAUD		900.00
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	14026	12/04/17	10/31/16	HENRI JEANRENAUD		1,750.00
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	13499	12/04/17	10/11/16	HENRI JEANRENAUD		750.00
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	13192	12/04/17	09/30/16	HENRI JEANRENAUD		6,000.00
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	9267	12/04/17	08/31/16	HENRI JEANRENAUD		1,500.00
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	8822	12/04/17	08/23/16	HENRI JEANRENAUD		900.00
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	8707	12/04/17	08/15/16	HENRI JEANRENAUD		6,000.00
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	8285	12/04/17	08/10/16	HENRI JEANRENAUD	1,312.50	
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	8285	12/04/17	08/10/16	HENRI JEANRENAUD	875.00	
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	8285	12/04/17	08/10/16	HENRI JEANRENAUD		5,250.00
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	8322	12/04/17	08/10/16	HENRI JEANRENAUD		2,100.00
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	8396	12/04/17	08/10/16	HENRI JEANRENAUD		22,486.89
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	8183	12/04/17	08/03/16	HENRI JEANRENAUD		1,300.00
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	8071	12/04/17	07/28/16	HENRI JEANRENAUD	2,500.00	
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	8071	12/04/17	07/28/16	HENRI JEANRENAUD		6,000.00
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	7775	12/04/17	07/25/16	HENRI JEANRENAUD	1,120.00	
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	7775	12/04/17	07/25/16	HENRI JEANRENAUD		2,355.00
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	6885	12/04/17	06/23/16	HENRI JEANRENAUD	450.00	
WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	6885	12/04/17	06/23/16	HENRI JEANRENAUD		900.00

Commission Payments  
Henri Jeanrenaud

Debtor	Ck. No.	Petition Date	Clear Date	Name	Receipts	Disbursements
WOODBIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	5061	12/04/17	05/10/16	HENRI JEANRENAUD		1,458.00
WOODBIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	5062	12/04/17	05/10/16	HENRI JEANRENAUD		1,806.00
WOODBIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	4921	12/04/17	05/02/16	HENRI JEANRENAUD		750.00
WOODBIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	2631	12/04/17	02/29/16	HENRI JEANRENAUD		1,620.00
WOODBIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	2143	12/04/17	02/18/16	HENRI JEANRENAUD		4,000.00
WOODBIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	2179	12/04/17	02/18/16	HENRI JEANRENAUD		9,090.00
WOODBIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	1743	12/04/17	02/04/16	HENRI JEANRENAUD		1,440.00
WOODBIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	1678	12/04/17	01/29/16	HENRI JEANRENAUD	4,375.00	
WOODBIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	1678	12/04/17	01/29/16	HENRI JEANRENAUD		5,250.00
WOODBIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	1501	12/04/17	01/25/16	HENRI JEANRENAUD		6,000.00
WOODBIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	1130	12/04/17	01/19/16	HENRI JEANRENAUD		1,794.00
WOODBIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	1131	12/04/17	01/19/16	HENRI JEANRENAUD		6,276.00
WOODBIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC	1132	12/04/17	01/19/16	HENRI JEANRENAUD		2,181.00
WOODBIDGE STRUCTURED FUNDING, LLC	33895	12/04/17	01/04/16	HENRI JEANRENAUD	212.50	
WOODBIDGE STRUCTURED FUNDING, LLC	33895	12/04/17	01/04/16	HENRI JEANRENAUD		900.00
WOODBIDGE STRUCTURED FUNDING, LLC	33925	12/04/17	01/04/16	HENRI JEANRENAUD		900.00
TOTALS - 2 YEAR (INCL. 90 DAY)					29,164.49	203,087.80
NET DISBURSEMENTS - 2 YEAR (INCL. 90 DAY)						173,923.31
WOODBIDGE STRUCTURED FUNDING, LLC	33058	12/04/17	12/01/15	HENRI JEANRENAUD		1,500.00
WOODBIDGE STRUCTURED FUNDING, LLC	32763	12/04/17	11/18/15	HENRI JEANRENAUD		1,050.00
WOODBIDGE STRUCTURED FUNDING, LLC	32846	12/04/17	11/18/15	HENRI JEANRENAUD	119.25	
WOODBIDGE STRUCTURED FUNDING, LLC	32846	12/04/17	11/18/15	HENRI JEANRENAUD		5,250.00
WOODBIDGE STRUCTURED FUNDING, LLC	32625	12/04/17	11/09/15	HENRI JEANRENAUD		3,745.00
WOODBIDGE STRUCTURED FUNDING, LLC	31824	12/04/17	09/25/15	HENRI JEANRENAUD		1,650.25
WOODBIDGE STRUCTURED FUNDING, LLC	31670	12/04/17	08/31/15	HENRI JEANRENAUD		1,620.00
WOODBIDGE STRUCTURED FUNDING, LLC	31456	12/04/17	08/05/15	HENRI JEANRENAUD		1,500.00
WOODBIDGE STRUCTURED FUNDING, LLC	31457	12/04/17	08/05/15	HENRI JEANRENAUD		1,500.00
WOODBIDGE STRUCTURED FUNDING, LLC	31076	12/04/17	07/01/15	HENRI JEANRENAUD		1,560.00
WOODBIDGE STRUCTURED FUNDING, LLC	30796	12/04/17	05/15/15	HENRI JEANRENAUD		10,000.00
WOODBIDGE STRUCTURED FUNDING, LLC	30797	12/04/17	05/15/15	HENRI JEANRENAUD		3,837.50
WOODBIDGE STRUCTURED FUNDING, LLC	30372	12/04/17	03/25/15	HENRI JEANRENAUD		1,770.00
WOODBIDGE STRUCTURED FUNDING, LLC	5104	12/04/17	02/12/15	HENRI JEANRENAUD		2,500.00
WOODBIDGE STRUCTURED FUNDING, LLC	30101	12/04/17	01/27/15	HENRI JEANRENAUD		5,000.00
WOODBIDGE STRUCTURED FUNDING, LLC	29198	12/04/17	12/17/14	HENRI JEANRENAUD		1,431.00
WOODBIDGE STRUCTURED FUNDING, LLC	26653	12/04/17	09/15/14	HENRI JEANRENAUD		2,790.00
WOODBIDGE STRUCTURED FUNDING, LLC	26208	12/04/17	08/29/14	HENRI JEANRENAUD		750.00
WOODBIDGE STRUCTURED FUNDING, LLC	26272	12/04/17	08/29/14	HENRI JEANRENAUD		1,458.33
WOODBIDGE STRUCTURED FUNDING, LLC	25722	12/04/17	08/06/14	HENRI JEANRENAUD		1,590.00
WOODBIDGE STRUCTURED FUNDING, LLC	24981	12/04/17	07/07/14	HENRI JEANRENAUD		3,000.00
WOODBIDGE STRUCTURED FUNDING, LLC	24861	12/04/17	07/01/14	HENRI JEANRENAUD		1,500.00
WOODBIDGE STRUCTURED FUNDING, LLC	24581	12/04/17	06/26/14	HENRI JEANRENAUD		3,000.00
WOODBIDGE STRUCTURED FUNDING, LLC	23986	12/04/17	05/20/14	HENRI JEANRENAUD		10,021.08
WOODBIDGE STRUCTURED FUNDING, LLC	21435	12/04/17	01/07/14	HENRI JEANRENAUD		7,195.46
TOTALS - 4 YEAR (INCL. 2 YEAR AND 90 DAY)					\$ 29,283.74	\$ 278,306.42
NET DISBURSEMENTS - 4 YEAR (INCL. 2 YEAR AND 90 DAY)						\$ 249,022.68

# **EXHIBIT 3**

## Schedule of Claims Contributed by Investors

Broker(s): Henri Jeanrenaud

Investor Name	Outstanding Investor Principal Amounts		Net/Allowed Claim Amounts	
	Class 3	Class 5	Class 3	Class 5
BARBARA ANN ASHMORE	\$ 70,000.00	\$ -	\$ 64,485.55	\$ -
HOOD III & LAURA R CHATHAM	-	200,000.00	-	148,111.01
IRA SVCS TR CO-CFBO CHARLOTTE WOOLARD INH	550,875.00	-	534,433.83	-
IRA SVCS TR CO-CFBO KATHLEEN P HOWDEN	48,600.00	-	41,135.50	-
IRA SVCS TR CO-CFBO KENNETH R HOWDEN IRA	60,200.00	-	50,601.83	-
IRA SVCS TR CO-CFBO KIRSTEN HOYER IRA	-	100,000.00	-	82,222.29
IRA SVCS TR CO-CFBO MARILYN DUHAMEL ROTH	63,000.00	-	59,303.12	-
IRA SVCS TR CO-CFBO ROBERT H CHATHAM III	47,000.00	200,000.00	36,051.81	153,411.97
IRA SVCS TR CO-CFBO RODNEY K HOWDEN	302,500.00	-	275,421.97	-
KIRSTEN HOYER	-	100,000.00	-	86,861.16
LARITA KAY MERRICK	705,000.00	80,000.00	628,096.31	71,273.34
PACAK FT 11/22/03 VOJTECH & E PACAK TTEE	102,000.00	50,000.00	80,380.22	35,985.93
PROV. TR GP-FBO PAUL E ASHMORE IRA	200,000.00	-	177,433.33	-
SAUNDRA SUE JONES	60,000.00	-	44,387.53	-
THE JACQUELINE M CARLSON 2006 TR	25,000.00	-	23,358.33	-
Totals	\$ 2,234,175.00	\$ 730,000.00	\$ 2,015,089.33	\$ 577,865.70