

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

In re:

WOODBRIIDGE GROUP OF COMPANIES, LLC,
et al.,¹

Remaining Debtors.

MICHAEL GOLDBERG, as Liquidating Trustee of the
Woodbridge Liquidation Trust, successor in interest to
the estates of WOODBRIDGE GROUP OF
COMPANIES, LLC, *et al.*,

Plaintiff,

v.

RICHARD SALVATO,

Defendant.

Chapter 11

Case No. 17-12560 (BLS)

(Jointly Administered)

Adv. Proc. No. 19-_____ (BLS)

**COMPLAINT FOR AVOIDANCE AND RECOVERY OF PREFERENTIAL
TRANSFERS PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. §§ 547 & 550**

Plaintiff Michael Goldberg (the “Plaintiff”), in his capacity as Liquidating Trustee of the Woodbridge Liquidation Trust, pursuant to the *First Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Liquidation of Woodbridge Group of Companies, LLC and Its Affiliated Debtors* dated August 22, 2018 (the “Plan”) of the debtors in the above-captioned cases (the “Debtors”), for his *Complaint for Avoidance and Recovery of Preferential Transfers Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 547 & 550* (the “Complaint”) against Richard Salvato (the “Defendant”), alleges as follows:

Nature of the Action

1. The Plaintiff brings this action against the Defendant to avoid and recover certain preferential transfers that occurred prior to commencement of the Debtors’ bankruptcy cases.

¹ The Remaining Debtors and the last four digits of their respective federal tax identification numbers are as follows: Woodbridge Group of Companies, LLC (3603) and Woodbridge Mortgage Investment Fund 1, LLC (0172). The Remaining Debtors’ mailing address is 14140 Ventura Boulevard, #302, Sherman Oaks, California 91423.

The Parties

2. Plaintiff Michael Goldberg is the duly appointed trustee of the Woodbridge Liquidation Trust (the “Trust”), successor in interest to the Debtors. Pursuant to Paragraph 5.4 of the Plan, the Confirmation Findings (defined below) at paragraphs 13-15, and Article-IV of the Liquidating Trust Agreement, the Trustee has the sole authority to pursue claims transferred to the Trust by the Debtors through the Plan and to seek any and all related relief.

3. Upon information and belief, the Defendant is an individual, a resident of the State of New Jersey, and a former investor with the Debtors.

Jurisdiction and Venue

4. The United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the “Bankruptcy Court”) has jurisdiction over this adversary proceeding under the Bankruptcy Code pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157(a) and 1334(a).

5. This proceeding is a core proceeding within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 157(b) and the Bankruptcy Court may enter final orders for the matters contained herein.

6. Pursuant to Local Bankruptcy Rule 7008-1, the Plaintiff affirms his consent to the entry of final orders or judgments by the Court if it is determined that the Court, absent consent of the parties, cannot enter final orders or judgments consistent with Article III of the United States Constitution.

7. Venue in this District is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409(a).

8. This adversary proceeding is commenced pursuant to Rule 7001(1) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”) and sections 547 and 550 of the Bankruptcy Code.

Case Background

9. Commencing with the first filings on December 4, 2017, and continuing with other filings through March 27, 2018, Debtors Woodbridge Group of Companies, LLC, *et al.*, each commenced a case by filing a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code (the “Chapter 11 Cases”). The Chapter 11 Cases are jointly administered under Case No. 17-12560 (KJC).

10. The Plan was confirmed on October 26, 2018, and became effective on February 19, 2019.

Facts

11. Prior to the commencement of the Chapter 11 Cases, the Debtors operated a fraudulent investment “Ponzi Scheme.” In its *Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Confirming the First Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Liquidation of Woodbridge Group of Companies, LLC and its Affiliated Debtors*, entered on October 26, 2018 (the “Confirmation Findings”), at paragraph NN, the Bankruptcy Court found as follows:

NN. Conduct of a Ponzi Scheme. The evidence demonstrates, and the Bankruptcy Court hereby finds, that (i) beginning no later than July 2012 through December 1, 2017, Robert H. Shapiro used his web of more than 275 limited liability companies, including the Debtors, to conduct a massive Ponzi scheme raising more than \$1.22 billion from over 8,400 unsuspecting investors nationwide; (ii) the Ponzi scheme involved the payment of purported returns to existing investors from funds contributed by new investors; and (iii) the Ponzi scheme was discovered no later than December 2017.

12. Through this fraudulent scheme, the Debtors raised over one billion dollars from approximately 10,000 investors as either Noteholders or Unitholders (collectively, “Investors”). Those Investors often placed a substantial percentage of their net worth (including savings and retirement accounts) with the Debtors and now stand to lose a significant portion of their investments and to be delayed in the return of the remaining portion. The quality of the

Investors' lives will likely be substantially and adversely affected by the fraud perpetrated by the Debtors.

13. Investors were often told that they were investing money to be loaned with respect to particular properties owned by third parties, that those properties were worth substantially more than the loans against the properties, and that they would have the benefit of a stream of payments from these third parties for high-interest loans, protected by security interests and/or mortgages against such properties. In reality, these statements were lies. Investors' money was almost never used to make high-interest loans to unrelated, third-party borrowers, there was no stream of payments, Investors' money was commingled and used for an assortment of expenses, including maintaining a lavish lifestyle for Shapiro and his family, brokers' commissions, overhead (largely for selling even more notes and units to investors), and payment of principal and interest to existing investors. The money that was used to acquire property (almost always owned by a disguised affiliate) cannot be traced to any specific Investor. These are typical characteristics of Ponzi schemes.

14. Because the Debtors operated as a Ponzi scheme, obtaining new money from Investors into the Ponzi scheme conferred no net benefit on the Debtors; on the contrary, each new investment was a net negative. Money was siphoned off to pay the expenses described above, so that the Debtors actually received only a fraction of the investment dollars. New money also perpetuated the Ponzi scheme, enabling the Debtors to return fictitious profits to early Investors; in the absence of new investment, the house of cards would fall (as it eventually did). At the same time, each investment created an obligation to return to the defrauded Investor 100% of the investment, such that each new investment increased the Debtors' liabilities and ultimately left them unable to satisfy their aggregate liabilities.

15. Defendant invested with the Debtors through the purchase of notes and/or units and received principal and interest payments from the Debtors with respect to the investment. Defendant invested in notes and/or units with the Debtors and was paid in full for all investments prior to the Petition Date.

16. During the ninety days prior to the Petition Date, the Debtors made payments to or for the benefit of the Defendant, including those identified on Exhibit A attached hereto (collectively, the “Ninety Day Transfers”). Exhibit A sets forth the details of each of the Transfers, including the identity of the transferor Debtor, check or payment number, payment date, clear date, and payment amount. The aggregate amount of the Ninety Day Transfers is not less than \$72,162.76.

17. Although it is possible that some of the Ninety Day Transfers might be subject in whole or in part to defenses under 11 U.S.C. § 547(c), Defendant bears the burden of proof pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 547(g) to establish any defense(s) under 11 U.S.C. § 547(c).

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Preferential Transfers—11 U.S.C. § 547)

18. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation in the above paragraphs, as though fully set forth at length.

19. Within the ninety days prior to the Petition Date, the identified Debtor made the Ninety Day Transfers to Defendant in the total amount of \$72,162.76, as more specifically described in Exhibit A.

20. Each of the Ninety Day Transfers to the Defendant was a transfer of property of the identified Debtor.

21. Each of the Ninety Day Transfers to the Defendant was made to or for the benefit of the Defendant.

22. The Defendant was a creditor of the identified Debtor (within the meaning of 11 U.S.C. § 110(10)) at the time that each of the Ninety Day Transfers was made or, alternately, received each of the Ninety Day Transfers for the benefit of a creditor or creditors of the identified Debtor.

23. Each of the Ninety Day Transfers to the Defendant was made on account of an antecedent debt owed by the identified Debtor to the Defendant before the transfer was made.

24. Each of the Ninety Day Transfers was made while the identified Debtor was insolvent. The identified Debtor is presumed to be insolvent during the 90 days preceding the Petition Date pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 547(f).

25. Each of the Ninety Day Transfers enabled the Defendant to receive more than the Defendant would have received if (i) the transfers and/or payments had not been made, and (ii) the Defendant received payment on account of the debt paid by each of the Transfers to the extent provided by the Bankruptcy Code.

26. As of the date hereof, the Defendant has not returned any of the Ninety Day Transfers to the Plaintiff.

27. Plaintiff is entitled to an order and judgment under 11 U.S.C. § 547 that the Ninety Day Transfers are avoided.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Recovery of Property—11 U.S.C. § 550)

28. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation in the above paragraphs, as though fully set forth at length.

29. Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 550(a), to the extent that a transfer is avoided under 11 U.S.C. § 547, Plaintiff may recover for the benefit of the estate the property transferred or the value of such property from (a) the initial transferee of such transfer or the entity for whose benefit such transfer was made or (b) any immediate or mediate transferee of such initial transferee.

30. Defendant is either (a) the initial transferee of the Transfers or the entity for whose benefit the Ninety Day Transfers were made or (b) an immediate or mediate transferee of the initial transferee.

31. Subject to the Defendant's potential defenses, Plaintiff is entitled to recover the value of the Transfers pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 550(a).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:

1. For a determination that each of the Ninety Day Transfers is avoidable as a preferential transfer under Section 547 of the Bankruptcy Code, and that the Plaintiff is entitled to recover the Ninety Day Transfers in the total amount of \$72,162.76 under Section 550 of the Bankruptcy Code;

2. For costs of suit incurred herein, including, without limitation, attorneys' fees;

3. For pre- and post-judgment interest on the judgment amount to the fullest extent allowed by applicable law; and

4. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: November 20, 2019

/s/ Colin R. Robinson

Bradford J. Sandler (DE Bar No. 4142)
Andrew W. Caine (CA Bar No. 110345)
Colin R. Robinson (DE Bar No. 5524)
PACHULSKI, STANG, ZIEHL & JONES LLP
919 North Market Street, 17th Floor
P.O. Box 8705
Wilmington, DE 19899-8705 (Courier 19801)
Telephone: (302) 652-4100
Facsimile: (302) 652-4400
Email: bsandler@pszjlaw.com
acaine@pszjlaw.com
crobinson@pszjlaw.com

*Counsel to Plaintiff Michael Goldberg, in his
capacity as Liquidating Trustee of the Woodbridge
Liquidation Trust*

EXHIBIT A

Exhibit A
90 Day Disbursements
Bankruptcy Case No. 17-12560

Debtor	Bank Account	Check Date	Clear Date	Ck. No.	Name	Memo	Amount
Woodbridge Group of Companies, LLC	Payroll	09/08/17	09/08/17	Payroll	RICHARD SALVATO		\$ 4,728.40
Woodbridge Group of Companies, LLC	Payroll	09/15/17	09/15/17	Payroll	RICHARD SALVATO		4,813.40
Woodbridge Group of Companies, LLC	Payroll	09/22/17	09/22/17	Payroll	RICHARD SALVATO		4,728.40
Woodbridge Group of Companies, LLC	Payroll	09/29/17	09/29/17	Payroll	RICHARD SALVATO		4,728.40
Woodbridge Group of Companies, LLC	Payroll	10/06/17	10/06/17	Payroll	RICHARD SALVATO		4,811.40
Woodbridge Group of Companies, LLC	Payroll	10/13/17	10/13/17	Payroll	RICHARD SALVATO		4,728.40
Woodbridge Group of Companies, LLC	Payroll	10/13/17	10/13/17	Payroll	RICHARD SALVATO		10,000.00
Woodbridge Group of Companies, LLC	Payroll	10/20/17	10/20/17	Payroll	RICHARD SALVATO		4,728.40
Woodbridge Group of Companies, LLC	Payroll	10/27/17	10/27/17	Payroll	RICHARD SALVATO		4,812.40
Woodbridge Group of Companies, LLC	Payroll	11/03/17	11/03/17	Payroll	RICHARD SALVATO		4,728.40
Woodbridge Group of Companies, LLC	Payroll	11/10/17	11/10/17	Payroll	RICHARD SALVATO		5,169.96
Woodbridge Group of Companies, LLC	Payroll	11/17/17	11/17/17	Payroll	RICHARD SALVATO		4,728.40
Woodbridge Group of Companies, LLC	Payroll	11/24/17	11/24/17	Payroll	RICHARD SALVATO		4,728.40
Woodbridge Group of Companies, LLC	Payroll	12/01/17	12/01/17	Payroll	RICHARD SALVATO		4,728.40
							<u>\$ 72,162.76</u>