Page 1 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT 1 2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK Case No. 12-11076-shl 3 4 5 6 7 In the Matter of: 8 9 ARCAPITA BANK B.S.C.(C), et al., 10 11 Debtors. 12 13 14 United States Bankruptcy Court 15 One Bowling Green 16 New York, New York 17 18 November 7, 2012 19 12:30 p.m. 20 21 BEFORE: 22 HON SEAN H. LANE 23 U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE 24 25

	Page 2			
1	Motion to Authorize/Debtors' Motion for Entry of an Order			
2	Authorizing the Debtors to Enter into a Financing Commitment			
3	Letter and Incur Related Fees, Expenses and Indemnities			
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25	Transcribed by: Sherri L. Breach, CERT*D-397			

Page 3 1 APPEARANCES: GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER, LLP 3 Attorneys for Debtors 4 200 Park Avenue 5 New York, New York 10166 6 7 BY: MATTHEW WILLIAMS, ESQ. 8 EMAD H. KHALIL, ESQ. 9 JOSH WEISSER, ESQ. 10 11 MILBANK, TWEED, HADLEY & MCCLOY Attorneys for Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors 12 One Chase Manhattan Plaza 13 14 New York, New York 10005 15 16 BY: DENNIS F. DUNNE, ESQ. 17 EVAN FLECK, ESQ. 18 19 DECHERT LLP 20 Attorneys for Standard Chartered Bank 21 1095 Avenue of the Americas 22 New York, NY 10036-6797 23 24 BY: BRIAN E. GREER, ESQ. 25

Page 4 SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM, LLP 1 2 Attorneys for Fortress Investment Group 3 4 Times Square 4 New York, New York 10036 5 6 BY: KENNETH S. ZIMAN, ESQ. 7 8 WHITE & CASE 9 Attorneys for Silverpoint Finance 10 1155 Avenue of the Americas 11 New York, New York 10036 12 13 BY: ANDREW C. AMBRUOSO, ESQ. 14 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 15 16 Attorneys for the U.S. Trustee 17 33 Whitehall Street 18 21st Floor 19 New York, New York 10004 20 21 BY: RICHARD MORRISSEY, ESQ. 22 23 24 25

Page 5 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 THE COURT: Good afternoon. Please be seated. 3 All right. We're here this afternoon for Arcapita 4 Bank, so let me get appearances for the record. 5 MR. WILLIAMS: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 6 Matthew Williams of Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher for the debtors. 7 Also with me is my partner, Emad Khalil and my colleague, 8 Joshua Weisser. 9 MR. DUNNE: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Dennis Dunne from Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy on behalf of the 10 11 official committee of unsecured creditors, and I'm joined 12 today by my partner, Evan Fleck. 13 MR. GREER: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Brian 14 Greer of Dechert, LLP for Standard Charter. 15 MR. MORRISSEY: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 16 Richard Morrissey for the U.S. Trustee. 17 MR. ZIMAN: Your Honor, Ken Ziman, Skadden, Arps, 18 Slate, Meagher & Flom for Fortress Investment Group as proposed DIP lender. 19 20 MR. AMBRUOSO: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Andrew 21 Ambruoso from White & Case on behalf of Silverpoint Finance. 22 THE COURT: All right. Anyone else? 23 All right. So I know we were here -- originally, 24 the genesis of this hearing was a motion that the debtors 25 filed seeking an order authorizing the debtors to enter into

a financing commitment letter and incur related fees, which goes way back to Document 513, and there's been a slew of developments since then, including a supplement that was Docket Entry 610. So let me hear from the debtors as to where things stand.

MR. WILLIAMS: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Again, for the record, Matthew Williams of Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher.

I have some good news to report. Not only have we been able to file an equity -- I'm sorry -- a DIP commitment letter that, in our view, solves all of the issues that were raised at the last hearing about a month ago, but we also have a deal with both the DIP lender and with the official committee of unsecured creditors on the terms of a commitment letter that we think all makes sense for the estate.

So, you know, I'm happy, if Your Honor would like, to go through all of the benefits that this letter has as opposed to the previous letter. It's in the chart attached as Annex B, I think, to our motion. I'm happy to walk you through that chart if you like.

THE COURT: Well, I have in the binder that you gave me a supplement to debtors' motion for entry of an order, and that's the supplement on page -- I believe it's 4. But in any event it's -- it's paragraph 6, and it talks about the Fortress commitment letter containing several

material advantages over the revised commitment letter that was filed with the reply. So I have that -- those bullet points, so I don't -- I don't think I need to -- to belabor the point on that.

The question is whether there's anything else that

-- that needs to be in the record, just sort of further

developments or additional development or changes.

MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah. And there are, Your Honor.
Thank you.

You know, I -- as -- you know, as we negotiated with the committee and with the debtor-in-possession lender over the last couple of days, it became clear to us that it would be beneficial to the estate if we had additional financing up front. All right. The commitment letter as filed had a commitment for \$100 million and could be upsized to \$150 million upon completion of due diligence.

Both the committee and the debtors believed that it would be beneficial to the estates if we had access to more liquidity, and as part of a global deal with the committee, who has been active in this process, Fortress has agreed to provide its initial commitment of \$125 million as opposed to \$100 million, which we view as, obviously from the estate's perspective, as very beneficial.

A couple of points I should note, Your Honor. As part of that -- and these documents are obviously not with

the Court, but we'll be filing hopefully revised documents later this evening or tomorrow morning. As part of that global deal, there is a couple of things I would like to note.

First and foremost, given the fact that the commitment is now \$125 million, the two percent commitment fee would be on the full \$125 million, not the \$100 million, so it goes up from --

THE COURT: All right.

MR. WILLIAMS: -- from \$2 million to \$2.5 million.

The second point, which I view as a minor point from the estate's perspective, but probably a major one from Fortress's perspective is we will pay the reasonable fees and expenses that they have incurred to date up front in connection with the entry of the order. I think the order will provide that the committee has three days to review those fees and expenses for reasonableness, but assuming the fees and expenses are reasonable, we would pay those reasonable fees and expenses.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. WILLIAMS: The third point would be that we would provide Fortress with a deposit of \$250,000 for future fees and expenses that they may incur.

And the fourth point is with respect to the additional \$25 million, the initial commitment letter from

- Fortress that we filed with the Court last week on the \$100 million provided that there was, in essence, a step down.

 Ninety days into the case we had to take the \$100 million down to \$80 million.
- How the step down is going to work now is that \$125 million that we have up front, we've got to reduce that outstanding commitment to \$100 million within 120 days of closing of the DIP facility.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. WILLIAMS: And with those points on the record, I'm happy to answer any questions Your Honor has or -- or -- or if the committee would like to state anything on the record. But I think that's the deal that we've struck.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. That's very helpful.

Let me hear from the committee.

MR. DUNNE: Thank you, Your Honor. For the record, Dennis Dunne from Milbank, Tweed.

I just want to put a couple of short comments into the record because when we came here today we were actually not supportive of -- of moving forward on the original \$100 million commitment because we thought there was a significant chance that the company would need more DIP availability than \$100 million.

And so I think that with the negotiations that

occurred today in the -- literally in the courthouse, we are supportive of entry of the order approving the commitment letter, principally because it was upsized from the \$100 million to \$125 million.

And one of the committee's concerns, prior to today, was that the pricing on that incremental 25, which may be needed to fund the estate's obligations, would be more expensive than the pricing on the original 100 million. It turns out that we have a commitment now where the pricing is the same from zero dollars up to \$125 million. So we view that as a -- an extreme positive for the case because we're more comfortable that we will have the resources to finance the Chapter 11.

And with that the committee supports entry of the order today, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Let me hear from any other interested party who wants to be heard.

MR. AMBRUOSO: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Andrew Ambruoso from White & Case on behalf of Silverpoint Finance.

Just want to make a very brief statement.

And notwithstanding all the revisions that have been made in the courthouse today, just want to make it very clear that we do not object to Fortress's proposal being considered today. Rather, simply just want to clarify for the record a few highlights of Silverpoint's latest

proposal, which we believe addressed the Court's and the company's prior concerns.

In Annex 2 of the debtors' supplement to their motion for approval of the Fortress financing commitment, the debtors compare Silverpoint's latest filed proposal on October 9th when, in fact, there was a subsequent proposal on October 22nd, which we believe addressed various concerns, most importantly was that Silverpoint's commitment of the initial \$50 million did not have the due diligence or credit committee approval conditions.

Additionally, Silverpoint's revised proposal also contained certain other things that I -- I know the Court and the company were concerned about, first being it included substantially less restrictive exclusivity language. It removed the termination fee, and also clarified what exactly would constitute a material adverse effect.

So having stated that for the record, again, I wanted to keep it brief and we just wanted to clarify that we do not object to the financing proposal. We just wanted to state for the record that there were some changes in our -- in our subsequent proposal and just wanted the Court to be aware of that.

THE COURT: No. I -- I appreciate that and I -- I appreciate the efforts of your client to respond to the

concerns I had and, obviously, you did. And so the fact that folks are asking me to approve Fortress is not a reflection on your efforts to address those concerns and I appreciate that.

MR. AMBRUOSO: I appreciate it. Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. Anyone else that would

like to be heard?

All right. I'm happy to approve the request by the debtors' for financing. This is an issue that has been of great concern in this case to the estate, to the committee, and to interested parties for some time now. I think everyone has participated in -- in good faith and with -- with a considerable amount of creativity and effort to -- to address the concerns about financing and the best path going forward.

And I -- again, I appreciate the fact that there are parties who were interested. And while there's one party that emerged today, that is not a reflection of the efforts and good faith of everybody to -- everybody else who was at the table to have those discussions. So there does reach a point where somebody is the -- is the party that you're going to the alter with. And just like election night last night, it's just how it goes.

So -- but I do appreciate everybody's efforts on this. I think it was handled in a very professional way so

as to really maximize value which is what this courthouse is all about. So I appreciate everybody's efforts. It's really -- I can't think of a better result than what's been achieved here. So you -- you've made my job very easy amidst all the weather-related difficulties and other things that have come in. It's -- it's -- I really do appreciate it.

So timing-wise, it sounds like I should expect to get some papers probably by tomorrow morning, and if that's the case I should be in a position, barring another weather-related emergency, to get the -- that entered tomorrow. So I would imagine that there's a -- there's a real desire to get this to bed quickly. So I'm assuming that's the time frame?

MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. I'm told that this courthouse doesn't normally close unless there's at least four inches of snow. I look outside the window and see nothing but white. I have no idea what that means for tomorrow, but if this courthouse is open, this will get entered without any need for follow-up.

If for some reason this courthouse is closed, you should nonetheless send it to me and we will go shopping to see if we can find a person who can enter the order from wherever -- wherever it is that they can, be that home, some

Page 14 1 other courthouse or something else. So we'll take it as it 2 comes. If for some reason it -- there's a real problem, 3 we'll -- I'll contact -- our chambers will contact debtors' 4 counsel and we'll figure it out. But I -- I am fairly 5 comfortable in saying that I think we should have no problem 6 getting it entered tomorrow. But, you know, I -- I -- they 7 don't pay me to predict the weather. So -- so we'll see. 8 Anything else that we need to discuss before we 9 adjourn? 10 MR. WILLIAMS: Again for the record, Matthew Williams, Gibson, Dunne. 11 12 Just two brief things, Your Honor, that I just 13 wanted to give an update to the Court on. 14 The first is in connection with the Eurolog (ph) 15 The Eurolog IPO did not launch as previously planned. 16 As the process went along, Your Honor, it became apparent to 17 the parties that, you know, certain parties wanted to, in 18 essence, take advantage of the fact that we're in Chapter 11 and offer us highly discounted pricing for the assets. 19 20 So after consultation with the underwriters, the 21 debtors decided to withdraw the IPO for now. 22 THE COURT: All right. 23 MR. WILLIAMS: So that was with respect to the 24 Eurolog IPO. 25 One other update, Your Honor, is with respect to

the new money equity commitments, in connection with the exclusivity hearing, I guess it was last month, the debtors had agreed -- you know, the debtors had said that we were going to pursue at least \$250 million in equity financing by November 1st, and if such funding was not made available, that we were going to re-concentrate our efforts on a standalone plan.

We got significant traction with the potential equity investors, but in the end we were unable to obtain the necessary commitments by the November 1 deadline, in substantial part because many of the Middle Eastern and Asian investors were, I guess, unfamiliar with the Chapter 11 process.

So in that vein, we promptly notified the UCC, the JPL, the ad hoc group and SCB, and as we promised, Your Honor, we indicated that we're prepared to immediately focus on the stand-alone plan. There have been initial discussions between the advisors, including by telephone and in meetings in London yesterday, and we've set aside most of next week for meetings with the various constituencies in New York regarding the terms of the stand-alone plan.

The debtors will be prepared to file a stand-alone plan before exclusivity expires, and our strong preference and goal is to file a plan that has the support of all the major estate constituencies.

Page 16 1 THE COURT: All right. Just in thinking about the 2 calendar for the month of December, do you have any rough 3 approximation of what a time frame might look like, just so 4 I can make sure that if you need time I can -- I can block 5 some off? 6 MR. WILLIAMS: This is in -- with respect to the 7 DIP or with respect to --THE COURT: Well, I guess the next steps moving 8 9 Any -- any -- again, I'm not sure when you would 10 file the plan, when you might need a disclosure statement 11 hearing, any -- any of the sort of next milestones in the 12 case? 13 MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah. If -- if -- I could -- you know, I've been focused mainly on the DIP commitment motion 14 15 for the past couple of weeks, so I'm a little bit --16 THE COURT: Fair enough. 17 MR. WILLIAMS: -- cabined off from some of the 18 larger cabin issues. 19 THE COURT: All right. 20 MR. WILLIAMS: So maybe what makes sense is when 21 we submit the order tomorrow, in the email where we submit 22 the order we could, you know, just let Your Honor know 23 generally and we'll copy the committee and the other -- you

know, with, you know, some scheduling ideas, if that would

work.

24

1 THE COURT: Yeah. That -- that would be helpful. 2 I asked particularly because in light of things being 3 postponed because of Hurricane Sandy, December is filling up 4 fairly quickly and if there's something that's of great 5 concern, it just helps for us to know and we can begin to 6 block off some time, even if you don't have a particular 7 date. But if you can let us know, we expect to need a hearing on this date or -- or, you know, something else, 8 9 whatever it is. 10 MR. WILLIAMS: Certainly with respect to the DIP, you know, I think we're going to need something, at the 11 12 latest, in early December and, you know, we'll put -- again, we'll put that in the email tomorrow. And with respect to 13 14 some of these other issues, you know, we'll talk internally 15 and let Your Honor know. 16 THE COURT: All right. That's helpful. 17 MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you. 18 THE COURT: Does the committee want to add 19 something? 20 MR. DUNNE: Yeah. Let me -- Your Honor, if I may 21 just address those comments quickly. 22 The committee's viewing the failure of the new 23 money to materialize now as, frankly, providing clarity to 24 -- to all the parties as to the path forward. We're all going to focus our efforts on the stand-alone plan, 25

Page 18 1 hopefully file a plan with the committee and the debtors 2 supporting it by December 15th. 3 I think to answer Your Honor's question, I don't think we'll be looking for a disclosure statement hearing 4 5 until January. 6 THE COURT: All right. 7 MR. DUNNE: But there may be other things that we need to impose upon the Court's time for in December, but 8 9 we'll make a collective effort to advise the Court of those 10 dates and those needs in the near term. 11 THE COURT: All right. That's helpful. 12 MR. DUNNE: Thank you, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Thank you. 14 Anything else before we adjourn? 15 All right. Again, thank you very much to 16 everybody today and over the last couple of weeks. And get 17 home safe. 18 (A chorus of thank you) (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 1:33 19 p.m.) 20 21 22 23 24 25

	Pg 19 of 20		
	_	Page 19	
1	INDEX		
2			
3	RULINGS		
4	DESCRIPTION	PAGE	LINE
5			
6	Motion to Authorize/Debtors' Motion		
7	for Entry of an Order Authorizing		
8	the Debtors to Enter into a Financing		
9	Commitment Letter and Incur Related		
10	Fees, Expenses and Indemnities	12	8
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Page 20 1 CERTIFICATION 2 3 I, Sherri L. Breach, CERT*D-397, certified that the 4 foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the 5 proceedings. 6 7 8 SHERRI L. BREACH 9 AAERT Certified Electronic Reporter & Transcriber 10 CERT*D -397 11 12 13 Veritext 14 200 Old Country Road 15 Suite 580 16 Mineola, NY 11501 17 18 Date: November 27, 2012 19 20 21 22 23 24 25