	Page 1
1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	Case No. 12-11076-shl
4	Adv. Proceeding No. 12-01662-shl
5	Adv. Proceeding No. 13-01355-shl
6	x
7	In the Matter of:
8	
9	ARCAPITA BANK B.S.C.(C), et al.,
10	
11	Debtor.
12	x
13	HOPPER,
14	Plaintiffs,
15	v.
16	FALCON GAS STORAGE COMPANY, INC.,
17	Defendant.
18	x
19	TIDE NATURAL GAS STORAGE I, LP, et al.,
20	Plaintiff,
21	v.
22	HOPPER CLAIMANTS,
23	Defendants.
24	
25	x

Page 2 U.S. Bankruptcy Court One Bowling Green New York, New York January 21, 2014 11:18 AM BEFORE: HON SEAN H. LANE U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

Page 3 1 Hearing re: Doc. #1051 (THIRD) Motion for Omnibus Objection 2 to Claim(s) - Debtors Third Omnibus Objection to Claims. 3 4 Hearing re: Doc. #1707 (NINTH) Motion for Omnibus Objection 5 to Claim(s) - Ninth Omnibus Objection to Claims. 6 7 Hearing re: Doc. #1251 Confirmation of Second Amended Joint 8 Plan of Reorganization of Arcapita Bank B.S.C. (c) and 9 Related Debtors Under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code 10 (With First Technical Modifications) as it Relates to Falcon 11 Gas Storage. 12 Hearing re: Adversary Proceeding: 13-01355-shl Tide 13 14 Natural Gas Storage I, LP et al. v. Hopper Claimants. Doc. 15 #11 Motion to Approve Compromise Motion to Approve Falcon 16 Settlement with Tide, the Hopper Parties, and HSBC (This 17 Administrative Entry was Entered to Reflect the Accurate Docket Event Code; See Lead Case No. 12-11076-shl Doc. 1721. 18 19 20 Hearing re: Adversary Proceeding: 12-01662-shl Hopper v. 21 Falcon Gas Storage Company, Inc. Doc. #27 Motion to Approve 22 Compromise Motion to Approve Falcon Settlement with Tide, 23 the Hopper Parties and HSBC (This Administrative Entry was 24 Entered to Reflect the Accurate Docket Event Code; See Lead 25 Case No. 12-11076-shl Doc. 1721.

Transcribed by: Nicole Yawn

Page 5 1 APPEARANCES: 2 MILBANK, TWEED, HADLEY & MCCLOY, LLP 3 Attorneys for Reorganized Debtors and the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors in 4 5 the Falcon Settlement with Tide Natural Gas Storage 6 One Chase Manhattan Plaza 7 New York, NY 10005-1413 8 9 BY: EVAN R. FLECK, ESQ. 10 LENA MANDEL, ESQ. 11 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER, LLP 12 13 Attorneys for Debtor, Falcon Gas Storage Company 14 (Debtor) 15 1801 California Street 16 Denver, CO 80202-2642 17 18 JEREMY L. GRAVES, ESQ. BY: 19 CRAIG H. MILLET, ESQ. 20 21 22 23 24 25

Page 6 1 BRACEWELL & GIULIANI 2 Attorneys for Tide Natural Gas Storage I, LP, et al. 711 Louisiana Street 3 4 Suite 2300 5 Houston, TX 77002-2770 6 7 BY: WILLIAM A. (TRAY) WOOD, III, ESQ. 8 BRADLEY J. BENOIT, ESQ. 9 10 KARPE LAW 11 Attorney for Ace American Insurance Company 12 44 Wall Street 13 12th Floor 14 New York, NY 10005 15 16 BY: KAREL S. KARPE, P.C. 17 18 ANDREWS KURTH, LLP 19 Attorney for the Hopper Parties 20 450 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10017 21 22 23 BY: CASSANDRA L. PORSCH, ESQ. 24 25

Page 7 HOGAN LOVELLS US, LLP Attorney for HSBC Bank 875 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022 BY: PIETER VAN TOL, ESQ.

Page 8 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 THE COURT: Good morning. Please be seated. 3 So we're here this morning for Arcapita Bank BSC, 4 a few matters that are on, including the so-called Falcon 5 plan. So let me get appearances from counsel, starting on 6 this side of the room. 7 MR. MILLET: Good morning, Your Honor. Craig Millet and Jeremy Graves, of Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher, on 8 9 behalf of Falcon Gas Storage Company. 10 MR. FLECK: Good morning, Your Honor. Evan Fleck and Lena Mandel, of Milbank, Tweed, on behalf of the 11 12 reorganized debtors, and, Your Honor, in the Falcon case, on 13 behalf of the official committee of unsecured creditors. 14 THE COURT: All right. 15 MS. KARPE: Good morning, Your Honor. Karel 16 Karpe, of Karpe Law, representing Ace American Insurance 17 Company. MR. WOOD: Good morning, Your Honor. Tray Wood, 18 on behalf of the Tide entities. With me is my partner, Brad 19 20 Benoit. THE COURT: All right. Good morning. 21 22 MR. VAN TOL: Good morning, Your Honor. Pieter 23 Van Tol, from Hogan Lovells, for HSBC Bank. 24 THE COURT: All right. Good morning. 25 MS. PORSCH: Good morning. Cassandra Porsch, from

Pg 9 of 24 Page 9 1 Andrews Kurth, on behalf of the Hopper parties. 2 THE COURT: All right. Good morning to you all, 3 and we'll hope to finish here before we all get snowed under. 4 5 (Laughter) 6 THE COURT: Which has happened a little more 7 quickly than folks anticipated, at least according to 8 weather reports that I saw. 9 MR. FLECK: Good morning once again, Your Honor. 10 Evan Fleck, from Milbank, Tweed. I just wanted to, if I 11 may, give a very brief update on matters, and the way we set 12 up the agenda for today is to first handle matters for the reorganized debtors. That'll be very brief, and then, we 13 can move on, with the Court's permission, to the Falcon 14 15 portion of the agenda. 16 THE COURT: All right. 17 MR. FLECK: The reorganized debtors are the equity 18 owners of the Falcon debtor, and so, we look keenly upon the events of today and look forward to, hopefully, the 19 20 resolution of the Chapter 11 case, at least in terms of the 21 plan for the Falcon debtor.

> In connection with the reorganized debtors, though, Your Honor, I did want to update the Court, as we have in the past, with respect to consummation of the plan that the plan has been consummated, except with respect to

22

23

24

distributions of the SECOOK (ph), and I know that creditors follow that closely, which is appropriate, because it's the first level of consideration that's going out to creditors. There had been a brief delay in working through some of the Europe clear (sic) and Clearstream systems and getting the SECOOK instrument out to the creditors.

The equity instruments had been distributed in the other forms of consideration, but the SECOOK was somewhat delayed, and, just this morning, we received confirmation from the service providers that all of the tests have now gone through successfully, and we are confident that the SECOOK will be in the hands of the creditors by this Friday. So we're getting quite a few calls from creditors, and this is a convenient way to update the Court as well the parties that follow the case here quite closely.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. FLECK: With that, Your Honor, I'd cede the podium to my colleague, Ms. Mandel, to take up the claims matter that's on the agenda for the reorganized debtors.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. FLECK: Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. MANDEL: Good morning, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MS. MANDEL: Lena Mandel, Milbank, Tweed, on

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

behalf of the reorganized debtors. The first four matters of the agenda are omnibus objections to claims one through four. With respect to certain claims that have not yet been resolved, and they still have not been resolved. So they're being adjourned to February and/or March --

THE COURT: All right.

MS. MANDEL: -- omnibus hearing, as indicated on the agenda. Matter number five of the agenda -- it's another adjourned matter. It's a pretrial conference in an adversary proceeding. There's a motion to dismiss that we have filed, and the plaintiffs asked for additional time to respond, and so, this whole matter has been adjourned to the March omnibus hearing, and the pretrial conference has been adjourned as well.

Then, number six on the agenda is a couple of claims from omnibus objection number three. These have been resolved, and I understand that Gibson Dunn will be submitting an order resolving these claims.

Finally, number seven is the ninth omnibus objection to claim that we have filed. We have not received any responses. So we're moving forward with all claims, other than claim number 577 by Ace Insurance Company. The resolution of that claim has been adjourned to the March omnibus hearing, and we believe it will be resolved by then.

With respect to all the other claims that were

Page 12 1 objected to by omnibus objection number nine, we're 2 requesting either expungement or reduction of those claims, 3 based on the facts set forth in the declaration by Mr. Rinaldi (ph), who is on the phone if Your Honor has any 4 5 questions about the grounds for objection. If not, then we 6 will be submitting an order. 7 THE COURT: All right. Let me just back up for one minute to the third 8 9 omnibus objection claim. I was just checking my numbers of 10 claims and trying to cross-reference things. Am I safe in 11 saying that those all relate to Tide? 12 MS. MANDEL: That is my understanding, Your Honor, 13 yes. 14 THE COURT: That's what I gleaned through the 15 papers as well. So, for the ninth omnibus objection -- let 16 me just get the paper out here. There's a variety of 17 matters that are objected to. 18 MS. MANDEL: All technical, Your Honor. THE COURT: Yes. 19 20 MS. MANDEL: Yes. 21 THE COURT: Some late filed claims, some claims 22 that are superseded, some claims that are duplicates, no 23 liability claims, books and records claims through (sic) 24 classified. I didn't see, as you mentioned, any objection

or response to the ninth omnibus, and I take it, from your

Page 13 1 presentation, that you didn't get any informal responses, 2 either? 3 MS. MANDEL: No, Your Honor, no. THE COURT: All right. And that objection is 4 5 supported by a declaration from Mr. Rinaldi, who I 6 understand is on the phone, and so, in case we have a 7 necessary evidentiary basis to any prima facie validity to the claims that they may or may not have, depending on what 8 9 they look like. 10 All right. Is there anything else you want to 11 add? 12 MS. MANDEL: No, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: All right. I will grant the ninth omnibus objection to 14 15 claims. 16 MS. MANDEL: Thank you. 17 THE COURT: And dealing with duplicative claims, superseded claims, late filed claims, no liability claims, 18 19 books and records claims and those to be reclassified, based on the declaration as well as the information contained in 20 21 the objection itself, and so, if you'd just submit a 22 proposed order, that would resolve the ninth omnibus objection to claims, other than for claim 577, which we'll 23 deal with in the future. 24 25 MS. MANDEL: Thank you very much, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. MILLET: Again, Your Honor, Craig Millet, for Falcon. It looks like we're ready to move onto the Falcon matters then.

THE COURT: All right. I think we are.

MR. MILLET: All right. Hopefully, Your Honor, we're here today to finish what we started approximately two years ago since this is the final piece of the Arcapita plan that the Court has already confirmed. As the Court, of course, recalls back in June of last year when we were addressing confirmation, we did proceed with confirmation as to all debtors, except Hopper, but there were certain issues that required resolution in various courts and other places before we could address that, including matters under submission to this Court.

I'm pleased to tell the Court we've now resolved all of those matters, and we believe we have cleared the path then to move to confirmation. The first step, of course, of which is a 9019 motion that's currently before the Court today to approve the resolution of those matters between Tide and Hopper and others.

With respect to that motion, Your Honor, we've laid everything out in detail in the motion as to the terms of the settlement. We've received no opposition, formal or informal, of any kind to that, and we believe that all the

standards of certainly 9019 have been met here quite easily since all parties are represented by competent counsel, and everything was routinely (sic) negotiated with respect to tricky issues as to the property of the estate and as to the meaning of the contract with respect to the escrow money, and we've come up with a division of the money that resolves the issues, and, with that, Your Honor, I'd submit that the motion should be granted since it does resolve all of the elements necessary for us to move on to confirmation, subject to, of course, any comments that anyone has here or questions of the Court. THE COURT: All right. I do not have any questions. Let me ask if anybody else wishes to be heard on the 9019 motion as to the Falcon settlement with Tide, the Hopper claims, and the HSBC. MS. PORSCH: Your Honor, Cassandra Porsch, Andrews Kurth, on behalf of the Hopper --THE COURT: You might just want to come a little bit closer, just so we can --MS. PORSCH: Sorry. THE COURT: As long as you're picked up by any microphone at all.

substantive to add. I just wanted to put on the record that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. PORSCH: Okay. I actually don't have anything

we fully support the motion.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.

Anyone else?

All right. I looked at the motion. I saw the details of the settlement. I think it easily satisfies the lowest range of reasonableness under 9019, although that sounds like it's (indiscernible - 12:53), so I'll go further than that. I think it's a very reasonable settlement.

It sounds like a very sensible way to resolve a dispute that had many complicated aspects. It sounds like the trial is going to be a complicated endeavor, based on the description in here and from Judge Wood.

Certainly, the subordination issues were tricky,
to use your term, as well as other issues, property of the
estate and such, and I am fairly confident we have made
substantial progress in putting together and paying (sic),
which I will not discuss for obvious reasons, but I'm fairly
confident that however I rule it would not have been the end
of this litigation because interesting issues lead to
further litigation.

So I have no doubt in our work on it here that it would not have been the last word for any party, and further litigation would have resulted. So, for many reasons set out in the motion, I join with you in saying I think it's a very reasonable settlement. I appreciate the parties'

cooperation on all of these issues and your time before this Court and in your time before Judge Woods. So I'm happy to approve the settlement.

MR. MILLET: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. MILLET: That then allows us to move then to confirmation because of the settlement just approved by the Court. We now have resolved a number of issues, none the least of which, as the Court just mentioned, is the subordination issue that was pending before the Court and the district court litigation, but also, this will allow us to resolve an adversary proceeding that was brought by the Hopper parties during the case, an adversary proceeding that was brought by the Tide parties during the case, claim objection proceedings pending, even provides for the satisfaction of claims of various parties.

It also allows for the resolution of two objections that were filed to confirmation of the Falcon plan, one by Tide, which has, of course, been resolved by the settlement, and one by the Ace companies, which we have resolved by putting in certain agreed language in the order that they've agreed and approved. Of course, they're represented by counsel, and they can confirm that, but they have approved the language that we put in the order, and that then resolves their claim objection.

With that then, we're moving into basically having an uncontested plan before the Court. Of course, the Court has seen this plan before. This is not a new plan. This is not a different plan. This is the same plan that was presented to the Court in June as to all debtors together just as to Falcon with confirmation that was split up.

We very carefully have tried not to modify the plan and not to reopen any issues. What we're seeking here today in the confirmation order does not modify the plan in any respect as to the Arcapita parties, other than Falcon, to which the plan has already been confirmed.

To be clear, we're not reopening any doors to appeals or that type of thing that might occur as to those parties. The plan remains the same, remains unmodified, remains unaffected by this order as to those parties.

So we're just seeking to finish off the confirmation of the same plan that was already disclosed and solicited with the approval of the Court as to all parties, and, for all the same reasons that the Court found that the plan satisfied all the elements of 1129 as to all other debtors, now with the resolution of the matters before the Court, we believe it satisfies all of the elements of 1129 in the bankruptcy code as to Falcon as well. So, in the interest of brevity, rather than track through all of it as we did before, I would say that, for those same reasons

discussed, this plan also satisfies the elements and should be confirmed.

THE COURT: All right.

Anyone wish to be heard in connection with the confirmation of the Falcon plan?

I see no one rising, and I will take that to mean that no one disagrees with the statements made by counsel, particularly as to the resolution of the objections, including Tide's, given the settlement, as well as Ace, the limited objection and reservation of rights that was on the record, and, in the -- I always go through the confirmation statements, but here, given that it is exactly the same plan, in the interest of brevity, I am not going to do so.

I am, however, going to find that it satisfies all applicable provisions of the bankruptcy code, obviously section 1129 and -- god bless you -- and all of the relevant provisions for the same reasons that I set forth on the record at the confirmation hearing and all the other Arcapita debtors, including that plan, and here, I just note that the only thing that is new is the settlement, which I've already approved, and I think the settlement allows the plan, the same plan, to go forward as to this entity, and I appreciate the parties' flexibility in dealing with the issues when we had the objections to the prior confirmation hearing, and I think it's a sensible and efficient way for

Pg 20 of 24 Page 20 1 everyone's benefit. So thank you for that, and I'm happy to 2 confirm the plan. 3 MR. MILLET: Thank you, Your Honor, very much. 4 THE COURT: Thank you very much. 5 So is there anything else on for today? 6 MR. MILLET: Nothing I'm aware of, Your Honor. 7 That's correct. 8 THE COURT: Yeah. 9 MR. FLECK: I agree. We don't have anything on 10 the agenda, Your Honor. I did want to mention in connection with the Falcon plan, as you'd expect, we will bring before 11 12 the Court a process forward dealing with administrative 13 claims of professional fee matters. As well, Your Honor may recall, although it hasn't had much air time in this Court, 14 15 the Thronson (ph) parties had filed certain claims, and 16 there is an objection outstanding. 17 We have had discussions with them and are hopeful 18 that we can formalize a settlement of those claims and the objection and do that on a consensual basis and bring it 19 20 before the Court for approval as appropriate. If not, we'll 21 move through the usual claims reconciliation process before 22 Your Honor. 23 There are some other objections to claims that I 24 expect -- that have not been resolved by the plan, as is

customary, and we'll bring those before the Court pursuant

to the claim objection procedures that are in place.

THE COURT: All right. And just, obviously, let us know -- I know there's some that were adjourned as of today for other times in February and March. As we get closer to any dates, we're going to address those, what those proceedings should look like. If they're argument or just decide whether it's a contested matter, if they're evidentiary. No two of those are exactly the same. So I just want to make sure to give you the necessary time and clearance to everybody, procedure-wise, of what's going to happen when they step in the courtroom. So just, obviously, talk to all the relevant parties, and just let us know how you want to proceed, and, to the extent you need any additional time that might not be satisfied on the day you've got it scheduled, we can figure it out how to most efficiently do it, if you have witnesses coming or any of that.

MR. FLECK: Of course. Thank you, Your Honor.

We'll do that.

THE COURT: Thank you very much.

All right. If there's nothing else, thank you very much, and congratulations on confirmation of the plan and resolution of these very interesting issues.

(Laughter)

25 THE COURT: I appreciated the high level of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

	Page 22
1	argument on the subordination issues, but I do think a
2	resolution is definitely a very sensible step for all the
3	parties. Thanks again.
4	MR. FLECK: Thank you, Your Honor.
5	(Whereupon, these proceedings were concluded at 11:36
6	AM)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

0103	Pg 23 of 24	. Wani		_
	3 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 -		Page 23	
1	INDEX			
2				
3	RULINGS			
4		Page	Line	
5	Ninth Omnibus Objection to Claims	13	14	
6	Settlement approved	17	3	
7	Plan confirmed	20	1	
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

Page 24 1 CERTIFICATION 2 3 I, Nicole Yawn, certify that the foregoing transcript is a 4 true and accurate record of the proceedings. 5 Nicole Digitally signed by Nicole Yawn 6 DN: cn=Nicole Yawn, o, ou, email=digital1@veritext.com, Yawn 7 Date: 2014.01.22 15:59:19 -05'00' 8 Veritext 9 330 Old Country Road 10 Suite 300 11 Mineola, NY 11501 12 13 January 22, 2014 Date: 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25