Page 1 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT 1 2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 3 Case No. 12-11076(SHL) 4 5 In the Matter of: 6 7 ARCAPITA BANK B.S.C.(C), ET AL., 8 9 Debtors. 10 11 12 13 U.S. Bankruptcy Court 14 One Bowling Green 15 New York, New York 16 17 October 2, 2013 18 10:31 AM 19 20 BEFORE: 21 HON SEAN H. LANE U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE 22 23 24 ECR OPERATOR: S. Hibbert 25

	Page 2
1	Hearing re: Doc. # 1322 Ernst & Young's Application
2	Pursuant to Sections 327(a) and 328(a) of the Bankruptcy
3	Code for an Order Updating the Terms of its Retention by the
4	Debtors as Auditors.
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	Transcribed by: Jamie Gallagher

Page 3 APPEARANCES: 1 MILBANK, TWEED, HADLEY & MCCLOY, LLP 2 Attorney for the Debtors 3 4 One Chase Manhattan Plaza 5 New York, NY 10005 6 7 BY: EVAN R. FLECK, ESQ. 8 9 LATHAM & WATKINS, LLP 10 Attorney for Ernst & Young 53rd at Third 11 12 885 Third Avenue 13 New York, NY 10022 14 15 BY: MICHAEL RIELA, ESQ. 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Page 4 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 THE COURT: Arcapita Bank. 3 MR. FLECK: Hi, good morning, Your Honor. Evan Fleck of Milbank Tweed on behalf of --4 5 THE COURT: Good morning. 6 MR. FLECK: -- the reorganized debtors, RA Holding 7 Corp., and the additional new holding companies. 8 Your Honor, we have just one matter, it's 9 uncontested, on the agenda for today, but with the Court's 10 permission, I would like to give a brief update. We haven't 11 met in a while on this case --12 THE COURT: Sure. 13 MR. FLECK: -- and there have been some very positive developments. 14 15 THE COURT: I hadn't heard from you all, and given 16 where things were the last time we spoke, that sounded like 17 that was a good thing because if there were going to be some 18 problems getting to the effective date, I anticipated 19 hearing from you. So, I assume you've gone effective? 20 MR. FLECK: We have gone effective, Your Honor. 21 It's with great pleasure that we announce that to the Court. 22 We did file a notice on the effective date announcing that all but one of the debtors is now out. If Your Honor is 23 24 aware, the Falcon case is still sub judice with respect to 25 the subordination issue and at the appropriate time we'll

move that forward to a confirmation process.

The effective date occurred on September 17th and we appreciate Your Honor's help with respect to the order that set out milestones. Each milestone was met either on the date or in advance of that date. And so thanks to the hard work of many parties, including the debtors' management team, their advisors, as well as the new board, the creditor's committee, and all the parties involved. It was a lot of hard work and it was work well done, and we are now effective with respect to those pieces.

THE COURT: All right. Well, congratulations.

MR. FLECK: Thank you, Your Honor. As a final step in the consummation process of the plan, I also wanted to update you that the Arcapita Bank entity that's the closed stock corporation, company that's in Bahrain, that is having a meeting of its shareholder. It will take place at 11:00 a.m. Bahrain time tomorrow, in Bahrain. I think it's 4:00 a.m. New York time. That is the last legal step in the formalities of having that entity take care of the consummation of the plan so that they'll ratify certain steps. We expect the shareholders to do that.

Also, the current board of the Arcapita Bank entity will resign at that meeting and the new board, which is comprised of the same members that are at the holding company, and all the other RA entities will then assume

responsibility for that entity as well. So, that's expected to take place, as I said, tomorrow morning Bahrain time.

The Central Bank of Bahrain will be present, as well as the Industry of Commerce, as is customary, they will be there on hand for that meeting. And as I said, we expect that will be the final step in the legal formalities of having that entity, as well, recognize the plan, steps, and consummation of the plan.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. FLECK: With respect to claimants, the distribution process has also commenced. On the effective date, we closed the transactions with respect to Goldman Sachs. The DIP was taken out and we converted to the exit facility. All of the administrative expense payments that were due and owing on the effective date were made on the effective date. There are other administrative expenses that we expect to be sought by claimants. There's a bar date. And we expect to receive claims as well, and we'll handle that through the usual process.

The securities under the plan were also issued on the effective date. Early last week Garden City Group mailed out to some 3,000 claimants the eligibility forms.

That's for them to provide information to comply with the securities laws that we talked about shortly before the effective date at a hearing. The Wilmington Trust is the

dispersing agent. They'll be collecting those forms from the claimants. And FTI has been retained by the new entities, the new Arcapita entities to -- to process those forms. They'll also be available to answer questions, as will Milbank, to the extent they come in from claimants.

We do expect the distributions on those plan securities will commence the middle of the month of November, assuming we've received a critical mass of responses from those claimants. So far, based upon the questions, it appears that claimants understand the process and are eager to get the forms in to allow for distributions to take place.

The claims reconciliation process also began before the effective date, as Your Honor knows, with the filing of a number of omnibus objections. We are continuing to work with the new board to reconcile those claims. Some of those objections will be heard, we expect, at the upcoming omnibus hearing on October 24th. We'll keep in touch with chambers to advise with respect to the types of matters and whether they'll be evidence or we expect any --

THE COURT: All right.

MR. FLECK: -- significant matters to come forward.

Also at that hearing, we expect final fee applications to be heard. And in connection with that

matter, the professionals are expected to file their applications this week by the 3rd. We've also provided notice to the Office of the United States Trustee with respect to that timing. In light of the events in Washington, I'm not sure if they've received the message yet, but we'll follow up with Mr. Morrissey.

THE COURT: Yeah, I would wait until we get much closer. If, in fact, somebody's been furloughed as a result of events in Washington, people are often, having done this drill in former life, are often willing to come into work, but they're actually not allowed. And so, I would wait until you get much closer. And since there is, I guess, that October 17th debt ceiling showdown, let's hope that that injects a degree of sanity into the political processes to resolve it, but it's anybody's guess.

MR. FLECK: Agreed. Lastly, Your Honor, as you're aware, there are two appeals that are pending in the District Court by Captain Alsohaibi. That's with respect to the financing order and the confirmation order. Those matters have been consolidated before Judge Shineland (ph) in the District Court. Oral argument has not been set, but the matters have been fully briefed before the District Court.

I said lastly, but there are two other points. I just wanted to mention the new board is well underway in its

Page 9 1 activities. It's meeting in New York next week with its 2 asset manager, ADAM, to talk about the portfolio. And with 3 respect to the creditor's committee, Your Honor, the creditor's committee does still exist under the plan and the 4 5 confirmation order for limited purposes, the litigation for 6 which standing has been granted, as well as review of final 7 fee application. So, we'll be back in that capacity in connection 8 9 with the hearing on final fee applications on behalf of the 10 committee as well. 11 THE COURT: All right. 12 MR. FLECK: I'm now prepared to move on to the agenda for today which is, as I said, limited. 13 14 THE COURT: Yes. 15 MR. FLECK: Okay. 16 THE COURT: Please do. 17 MR. FLECK: Thank you, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Thank you for the update. MR. FLECK: There is -- there's just one item, 19 20 it's the Ernst & Young application to update the terms of 21 its retention. I'm pleased that we have an agreement with 22 Ernst & Young, and I'm happy to turn the podium over to 23 Mr. Riela to speak to those terms. And, as I said, it is 24 supported by the reorganized debtors. 25 THE COURT: All right.

Pg 10 of 18 Page 10 1 MR. RIELA: Good morning, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Good morning. 3 MR. RIELA: Michael Riela, Latham & Watkins for 4 Ernst & Young. 5 Ernst & Young filed the application on July 3rd to 6 update the terms of increase its audit fee by a total of 7 200,000 Bahraini dinars, which is about \$583,000. Since the application was filed, Ernst & Young had 8 9 a lot of constructive conversations with UCC and its financial advisor, (indiscernible - 00:21:09) to address the 10 questions that it had. As a result of those discussions, 11 12 Ernst & Young has agreed with the UCC to perform additional 13 services for the reorganized company. 14 First of all, to perform audit procedures, which 15 we expect will result in the reissuance of its 2012 audit 16 opinion. The current, or the last, audit opinion was 17 basically a no opinion. They didn't get enough information 18 from the company, so they couldn't opine on the financial 19 statements. 20 There's also going to be a 2013 audit that's going 21 to be done by Ernst & Young. The terms, the fees of those 22 audits, those work streams (ph) have been agreed upon, my 23 understand is that engagement letters have been signed. So, 24 this leaves us with the UCC's consent to the relief sought

in this particular application. We've agreed to some

modifications to the form of order that was first presented to the Court, or filed with the application. They're kind of minor in scope, basically mentioning the two additional work streams, but everything else basically stays the same.

THE COURT: My question is this, and I had this come up in the American Airlines case as well when there was a request to revise the actual agreement in a 328 application, is my understanding -- and there's not a discussion of legal standard in the motion, it's actually a fact based, well we didn't know whether this is how things worked out --

MR. RIELA: Right.

THE COURT: -- and essentially what's fair.

Is the 320 -- 330 is Court reviews it for reasonableness. People can weigh in and you would all see how that works out, but there's certainly -- it's all in the context of the scope of the retention.

328 is even more so the scope of the retention such that a Court doesn't review it and there's some times when it works to somebody's benefit, and there's some times when it doesn't work to somebody's benefit. And as I expressed in another case where this came up, I'm very loathe to have courts be in the business of treating 328 applications to say, well, that's the understanding (indiscernible - 00:23:27) or may not, because it's a flat

fee and therefore, we're asking the Court to keep its nose out of it, but we're going -- but we're only going to do that if it works to our benefit, because if it works to our detriment, we're going to come back and revise it.

I don't know what authority there is for that.

And I didn't see anything in the motion here that fits my understanding of what the law says about amending a 328 for some things that are unforeseen. There's a discussion about bankruptcy and the challenges of bankruptcy. And you had me at hello in terms of the challenges of this very complex bankruptcy.

But since there was originally a retention and there was a retention sought in this case, at which point the bankruptcy was an obvious event. People knew it.

That's what the retention was all about, being retained during the course of the bankruptcy. I have a concern about whether it's appropriate for me to grant this.

I reach that conclusion reluctantly because there's an agreement here, and I'm not saying it's not fair in the colloquial use of the word, but I'm very mindful of what a Court's role is and isn't in 330 versus 328 applications. So, what can you tell me on that score?

MR. RIELA: Well, I think, you know, the first part when we made it in the motion, the engagement letter had the -- the flat fee in the engagement letter was an

estimate subject to change -- subject to increase based on various things that may come up.

THE COURT: I know, but you're -- the -- that was the fee, and if somebody wanted to put something in the 328 application and say it was an estimate subject to change based on this, that, and the other thing, you could have done it, but all I got was this. And so, if it turned out that the fee that was charged was X and the amount that actually it turned out that you needed was X minus some other number, I highly doubt anybody would be in here saying, well, we should amend it downward because our estimate was high.

MR. RIELA: Well, maybe the debtor is going to come and said, you know --

THE COURT: I've never seen one of those and I
don't expect --

MR. RIELA: Nor have I, but perhaps you never know.

THE COURT: And again, I'm not trying to be pejorative about it.

21 MR. RIELA: I understand.

THE COURT: It's sort of the nature of a 328 application. So, if there's something that's unforeseen, that's what I understand the law is, you just said well, you know, we were going to do this, but then everything went

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

22

23

24

1 sideways, which is an event that sometimes happens in cases.

MR. RIELA: Sure.

THE COURT: And retentions, but just has this looked like a matter of course, well, things took more time and they cost more. Again, I'm not happy to be -- to be in this position because I certainly understand there's lots of metrics and information you provide about how -- what's requested here, sort of what put it in line with the traditional compensation that E&Y has gotten and the way it's handled these things. So, I really -- I'm sort of reluctant to bring it up, but I need more because I'm not --

MR. RIELA: I'd just state one more thing and if
Your Honor still has concerns, remit perhaps a suggestion.
The one more thing I would bring up is obviously the US
Trustee retains 330 review over this. The parties, other
than the US Trustee or the old debtors with whom E&Y
negotiated the fee (indiscernible - 00:26:56) by Gibson Dunn
and now the UCC, the reorganized debtors represented by
Milbank, we came to our agreement. I'm not sure that
there's any other party that would be prejudiced by this
application being approved.

THE COURT: No, this would be coming out of estate funds, so it's coming out of creditors. Again, I'm not -- the US Trustee's Office isn't here --

MR. RIELA: Sure.

THE COURT: -- in light of the current excitement in Washington, I don't think I can take anything from that one way or the other. Even when Washington -- everything's normal in Washington, the Government's silence on an issue doesn't -- is often difficult to discern what it means.

MR. RIELA: They retain their 330 review under this construct anyway.

THE COURT: No, I understand that, but again I've been told by various people, well what you in this case, it has no effect on any other case, it's not precedential. And then I've had that decision cited to me less than two weeks later. So, nothing is done in a vacuum. And, again, if I'm going to grant something in a 328 retention that is an amendment and an increase, I need to know that it fits within the confines of the law, just because that's the way, and that's how it goes.

So, again, I'm not particularly happy about it in this factual circumstance. I don't think -- I'm not saying that your request is unreasonable in a generic sense, but I have concerns and maybe you can alleviate them, maybe you can't. I certainly am happy to give you a chance. You might not have seen this coming given that it was a consensual situation. And if you want to mull it over, and think about it, and file something that's supplemental or discuss it, however you want to do it. But I do have that

Pg 16 of 18

concern that's --

MR. RIELA: I understand, Your Honor, and I -- I basically have had everything that I can think of said already. I guess my suggestion would be given that final fee applications will be filed, and actually Ernst & Young filed its final fee application yesterday. It includes this fee anyway. Hopefully we'll have an October 24th hearing date with respect to that, depending on what happens in Washington.

Perhaps my suggestion ought to be, let's deal with the entire fees --

THE COURT: That's fine.

MR. RIELA: -- at the final fee hearing. We will have 328 apply to, you know, what's already been approved by the Court, 330 applied to the additional fee, and whatever else --

THE COURT: Well, again, but this is -- I mean, the application and the retention is a 328 application. I know that the US Trustee always has that 330 carve-out. But from my point of view, it's a 328. So, yeah, if you want to submit something or address it at the hearing, however you want to handle it, I'll essentially table this until that time and we can deal with it then.

MR. RIELA: And, Your Honor, I think my stitch would just be, as I said, we've already filed the fee

Page 16

Page 17 1 application yesterday which includes this fee. We can deal 2 with all fees, you know, (indiscernible - 00:29:56) block of 3 time, you know, during the final fee hearing. 4 THE COURT: All right. That's fine. Anything that you want to add, Mr. Fleck? 5 6 MR. FLECK: No, that approach is fine. Thank you, 7 Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: All right. Anything else we need to 9 address today? 10 MR. FLECK: That's all we have from Arcapita. 11 THE COURT: All right, we'll see you all on the 24th. 12 13 MR. FLECK: Thank you very much, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Thank you. 15 (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 10:48 16 AM) 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Page 18 1 CERTIFICATION 2 I, Jamie Gallagher, certify that the foregoing transcript is 3 4 a true and accurate record of the proceedings. 5 **Jamie** 6 Digitally signed by Jamie Gallagher DN: cn=Jamie Gallagher, o, ou, email=digital1@veritext.com, c=US Date: 2013.10.03 15:47:55 -04'00' Gallagher 7 8 Veritext 9 200 Old Country Road 10 Suite 580 11 Mineola, NY 11501 12 13 Date: October 3, 2013 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25